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Abstract

Since the implementation of regional autonomy laws in Indonesia, large numbers of sharia-inspired laws have been implemented in the West Sumatera Province. Furthermore, some Minangkabau people, the ethnic group indigenous to the province, have insisted in the abolishment of the matrilineal and land tenure system, which they believe is not in accordance with sharia. For hundreds of years, the Minangkabau ethnic have been famous for being one of the world’s largest matrilineal societies, and the inheritance of land and property ownership is handed down according to the matrilineal principle over generations. As a result, conflicts arise within indigenous communities (internal conflict-horizontal) since its proponents and opponents are equally strong in the society. The most interesting aspect to be analyzed is the fact that the disputing groups use sharia to legitimise their points of view. Some argue that the matrilineal and land tenure system is in accordance with sharia while the others argue otherwise. This study will focus on the discourse beyond the epistemic claim made by the disputing groups. This paper argues that the mix of ideological, political and economic interests play a significant role behind the struggle
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for control of the religious discourse, particularly related to the matrilineal and the land tenure system in the Minangkabau post reformasi era.
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**Introduction**

Since the fall of the Soeharto regime in 1998, Indonesia has been considered to be one of the world largest and most de-centralized democratic countries. As a home to numerous dif-ferent ethnic groups, democratic consolidation for some groups
was viewed as an opportunity to renegotiate their local cultural position in Indonesia’s political domain. Since the laws No. 22 & 25/1999 on Regional Autonomy were implemented, some ethnics in Indonesia asked for replacement of the Desa system with a governmental structure based on their own a traditional customary rule (adat), such as Gampong in Aceh, Pekon in Lampung, and Kampong in Papua and East Kalimantan. Similarly, in the West Sumatera province, the Minangkabau people asked for replacement of the Desa with the Nagari system in the entire Minangkabau area (Asnan 2003, 529; Fanany 2003, 180–83). The idea of “babaliak ka nagari” or returning to nagari was one of the most crucial political issues of the democratic consolidation in West Sumatera. Ultimately, based on West Sumatera provincial regulation (Perda) No. 2, 2007, the Desa was replaced with the Nagari system.

Returning to Nagari, for Minangkabau people, simply means returning to their adat and Islamic teaching (Franz and Keebet von 2006, 239–42; Fanany 2003, 180–83). They believe that Minangkabau culture and Islam are two inseparable identities.

2 The regional autonomy laws no. 22 & 25/1999 has been undergone two revisions: regional autonomy laws No. 32 in 2004 and No. 23 in 2014. 3 Desa is a top-down centralized political system adopted from Javanese political system. Based on law No. 5/1979, the Desa system is the lowest administrative level all over Indonesia which is recognized by the Indonesia government.

4 The term ‘Minangkabau’ also known as ‘Minang’ refers to the ethnic group indigenous to the West Sumatera province, Indonesia. In Minangkabau language, the term can also refer to their land as well as their culture and tradition. Therefore, the word ‘Minangkabau’ is often used interchangeably.

5 Nagari is the lowest administrative level in Minangkabau and has sovereign status, was autonomous, and had governed its people in a democratic manner. It is made up of a territory, a political structure and its own legal system. To become a nagari, the community had to fulfil certain requirements: minimum of four tribes already settled in the area; a meeting point where people can gather (balai adat); a mosque (masjid), arable areas; roads and an access to water (tapian tampaik mandi). See Kato (2005, 2–3).
In their social system, *adat* should be based on Islamic teaching (Abdullah 1966, 1; Navis 1991, 1–8; Hamka 1984, 3–15; Abunain 1991, 1–14). The collaboration between *adat* and Islamic teaching in establishing the Minangkabau religious community is reflected in a famous Minangkabau *adat* aphorism, well-known as a Marapalam Charter:7 “Adaik basandi syarak, syarak basandi Kitabullah, syarak mangato, adaik mamakai” (Minangkabau customary rules are founded upon *sharia* and *sharia* is founded upon the Holy Book, whatever the *sharia* says, Minangkabau customary rules will follow) (Simarmata 2006, 160).

Ultimately, following the implementation of regional autonomy laws, large numbers of *sharia*-inspired laws have been implemented in Minangkabau. As part of their demand to implement *sharia*, some Islamist groups also began to reject the

---

6 Marapalam is the hill at the bottom of Mount Sago in Lintau, Tanah Datar Region West Sumatra.

7 There is no certainty as to when the charter was declared at Marapalam hill. There are five versions about when the agreement was made. The first version mentioned that it was declared in 1644. In the second version, there is no certainty about which year it was but it was not long after the Wahabi movement occurred in Mecca. The third version says it was in the early days of the Padri War from 1803 to 1819. The fourth version claims it was during the unrest after the Padri war. The fifth version states that it was before the hills of Marapalam were controlled by the Dutch in 1832. See Asoka (1991) and Abunain (1991, 1–14).

8 In some cases, the use of ‘Islamist’ has created an epistemological problem. Fuller argued that “Islamist is one who believes that Islam as body of faith has something important to say about how politics and society should be ordered in the contemporary Muslim World and who seeks to implement this idea in some fashion” (Fuller 2003 introduction). In the context of dispute on Minangkabau Adat, fuller definition is considered inapplicable examine the fact that the proponents and opponents of Minangkabau *adat* use *sharia* to legitimise their point of view. Therefore, instead of using Fuller’s definition, the term ‘Islamist’ here is referring to Islamic groups influenced by Salafism and Wahabism, an ultra-conservative reform movement that advocates a return to Quranic times, which are also actively propagate their
Minangkabau’s matrilineal and land tenure system as an effort to purify ‘the Islamic teaching’. The Minangkabau are famous for being one of the world’s largest matriarchy societies and the inheritance of land and property (harta pusaka) ownership is handed down according to the matrilineal principle over generations. They argued that both Minangkabau’s customary systems were not in accordance with sharia, which is ‘patriarchal’ in nature.

The most interesting aspect to study is the fact that those who support the Minangkabau customary rules also use sharia to legitimise their point of view. On one hand, the proponents argue that Minangkabau adat is in accordance with sharia while the opponents argue otherwise. Hence, the questions to be addressed in this study are: How can we explain this puzzle and understand why such contradictions take place? Why is sharia interpreted differently by different Islamic groups in Minangkabau? Who is seen as an epistemic authority with the respect of theory of knowledge that brings Minangkabau’s society partic-

---

interpretation of Islam in West Sumatera.

9 Matriarchy is usually defined as a political system in which women are the dominant political actors, as opposed to patriarchy, in which men are the exclusive or primary heads of families, social groups, or political states. In the sense of women-domination of politics, it is repeatedly stated by scholarly authorities that there are no matriarchal societies in the world today (and many argue there never were). Perkins Gilman ([1915] 1992) called Matriarch as utopian theory; Marshall (1998) called as deluded fantasy, and Frazier (1949) and Moynihan (1965) called as dangerous degeneration or dysfunction. Heide Göttner-Abendroth (2004) defined the matriarchy as women “having” the power of disposition over the goods of the clan, especially the power to control the sources of nourishment,” and distinguishes this actual distributive power from “mere” matrilineality or matrilocality. Therefore, Matriarchy here is not defined as the mirror image of patriarchy, in which women domination of politics. Rather, matriarchy is a system in which women are considered to be at the centre of the social and economic system. For further discussion on this matter, see Sanday (2003).
ular perspective, values, assumption, and meaning? Who can be
consulted and respected with the regard to knowledge produc-
tion in Minangkabau society? Who is credible? Who is ignored?
What is the motive behind the struggle for control of the sharia
discourse in Minangkabau, related to the matrilineal system and
land tenure issues? How important are the Minangkabau schol-
ars in providing answers to the problems confronting contempo-
rary Minangkabau society?

Drawing from the fields of anthropology, Islamic studies,
and political science, this study will look closely at the discourse
of Islam, adat, and democracy in the West Sumatera province
since the implementation of regional autonomy law. This study
will also look at the slow evolution of Minangkabau people’s in-
terpretation of Islam and adat by investigating the social identity
of actors and agents that give particular religious perspectives,
assumptions, values and meanings to the Minangkabau people.
Using the critical discourse analysis approach, this study will
focus on the discourse beyond the epistemic claims made by op-
ponents and proponents of the matrilineal and the land tenure
systems in Minangkabau.

In collecting data and resources, the author employed prima-
ry source information gathered through field research and inter-
views with adat and Islamic community leaders, local parliament
members, social activists, government officials, and grass-root
Muslims in Minangkabau. The field research was conducted in
rural and urban areas of the West Sumatera province. We stud-
ied the contextual condition in the urban and rural areas of Mi-
nangkabau as comparative studies by focusing on the social, in-
stitutional and environmental conditions that may have a strong
influence on Minangkabau people’s points of view toward Islam
and Minangkabau adat. In the rural area, the field research was
conducted in Tanah Datar, well-known as luhak nan tuo, the
center of the Minangkabau ethnic group, where *adat* values are still well-maintained. In the urban area, the study was conducted in the cities of Padang, Padang Panjang, Bukittinggi and Solok, where the contiguity and high interaction with other cultures, people, capitals and ideas have come about as a part of the process of globalization.

**Epistemological Discrepancy**

Since the fall of the Soeharto regime, like elsewhere in Indonesia, Islamist purification movements are increasing their influence in West Sumatera. Besides the local Islamic mass organisations, such as the Islamic Law Enforcement Committee (KPSI), Gerakan Muslim Minangkabau (GMM), and Islamic Defender Society Front (FMPI), the Java-based Islamic organisations such as, Hizbut Tahrir Indonesia (HTI), Majelis Mujahidin Indonesia (MMI) and the Islamic Defender Front (FPI) have established local branches in the province. These organizations have actively persuaded the Minangkabau people to join their movements in promoting the implementation of *sharia*. Since the implementation of regional autonomy laws No. 22 & 25/1999, large numbers of local regulations based on the *sharia* have been implemented. As many as 26 *sharia* laws have been implemented in the province, see Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th><strong>Regional Autonomy Laws</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
<pre><code>  | • Tanah Datar Regent, Mayor Instruction letter Number 451.4/556/Kesra-2001 on the Imposition of the obligation to wear Islamic Outfit for civil servants. |
</code></pre>

Table 1  
List of *Perda Syariah* implemented in West-Sumatera since reform era
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Regional Autonomy Laws</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>• Solok Regent, Local regulation Number 10/2001 on Quranic Literacy for Pupils, Students, Brides, and Grooms-to-be.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2003 | • Padang Regent, Local Regulation Number 6/2003 on the comprehensive Quran Literacy for Regular and Islamic Elementary School Students.  
• Padang Regent, Local Regulation Number 3/2003 on the Comprehensive Quran Literacy for Muslims.  
• Solok Regent, Local Regulation Number 13/2003 on the Management of Profession Alms and Any Kind of Charitable Contribution.  
• Bukittinggi Regent, Local Regulation Number 20/2003 on the amendment of the local regulation Number 09/2000 on the Control of, and Action of, Social Illnesses.  
• SawahLunto Regent, Local Regulation Number 01/2003 on Quranic Literacy for Pupils, Students, Brides, and Grooms-to-be.  
• Sawah Lunto Regent, Local Regulation Number 02/2003 on the Islamic outfit.  
• Pasaman Regent, Local Regulation Number 22/2003 on the obligation to wear the Islamic outfit for Students and Employees.  
• Pasaman Regent, Local Regulation Number 21/2003 on the comprehensive Quranic Literacy.  
• Pesisir Selatan Regent, Local Regulation Number 31/2003 on the Management of Profession Alms and Any Kind of Charitable Contribution.  
• Lima Puluh Kota Regent, Local Regulation Number 6/2003 on Quranic Literacy for Pupils, Students, Brides, and Grooms-to-be. |
| 2004 | • Padang Pariaman Regent, Local Regulation Number 02/2004 on the Prevention and Eradication and Suppression of Social Illnesses.  
• Padang Panjang Regent, Local Regulation Number 03/2004 on the Prevention and Eradication and Suppression of Social Illnesses.  
• Bukittinggi Regent, Local Regulation Number 29/2004 on the Management of Profession Alms and Any Kind of Charitable Contribution.  
• Pesisir Selatan Regent, Local Regulation Number 08/2004 on Quranic Literacy for Pupils, Students, Brides, and Grooms-to-be. |
As part of their demand to implement *sharia*, the Islamist groups that have been influenced by Wahabi and Salafi ideology have begun to reject the Minangkabau’s matrilineal and land tenure systems as an effort to purify ‘the Islamic teaching’. With their emphasis on a strict, legalistic, and exclusive understanding of *sharia*, they argued that the matrilineal and land tenure system is against *sharia* laws. They believe that Arabic Islam is the highest achievement of culture. They consider Islam and Arabic culture to be virtually synonymous. Other cultures may have positive elements, however, Arabic language and culture is the critical standard by which all other cultures may be judged because God chose to give His final revelation through the Arabic language and culture. According to this interpretation, Islam
should rule every aspect of life and create Islamic cultures wherever there are Muslims. In an interview, Afnorizal Abukasim, a ninik mamak, a tribe leader, from Bukittinggi, stated that:

“Islam is God’s teaching. Human culture must not replace Islam. Therefore, we oppose the matrilineal and inheritance land ownership in Minangkabau. God, through the Prophet Muhammad, has given the rules for human life. Muhammad’s position was as a representative of God on earth and man is obliged to manage their lives in accordance with the rules that God established. Therefore, we must obey and submit to God. Sharia is the rules set by God that must be implemented in the life of society and humanity.”

A large number of both historical and anthropological works on the Minangkabau of West Sumatera have argued that contradiction between Islamic law and adat is considered to be inconsistent in Minangkabau life (Blackwood 2001). However, this study offers a different perspective. This study argues that the unresolved puzzle concerning whether Minangkabau’s matrilineal and land tenure systems are compatible or incompatible with sharia actually occurs because of the semantic differences in the use of the term sharia among Minangkabau people. Hobbes (1651, 21–22) argued that there is no essential relationship of signification between the signifier (the word or sign) and the signified (the idea). Even though the proponents and opponents of Minangkabau adat use the same word, such as; sharia, matrilineal, harta pusaka, it has different meanings to them.

Also, the proponents and opponents of Minangkabauadat are actually committed to a private language theory of meaning. Locke (1690) argued that words or signs actually refer to the ideas of the speaker. Locke defined language as made up of

---

10 The interview was conducted on 25 November 2015.
words or signs that must refer to ideas in the mind of the language-user. In other words, the meaning (and the verification of its truth value) is determined by what the individual/group of speakers had in their mind. Based on this premises, the words such as ‘sharia, matrilineal, adat, and harta pusaka’, have to be viewed as epistemologically constructed through and on language. It consequently constructs artificial meanings that are the products of human mental reasoning and judgment.

We cannot deny the fact that epistemology is a social-constructed idea that has been produced in particular context. Therefore, epistemology is political (Alcoff 2013, 817–20). The ability to have control over religious epistemology has political ramifications because it enables the establishment of hierarchical religious epistemic authorities to decree how society should act or believe. The proponents and opponents of Minangkabau adat are contesting to become the religious epistemic authority that is able to determine the fixed meaning of religious epistemology. Then, they respectively claim that their interpretations on adat and Islam are objective, universal, absolute, eternal, single truths and ‘immune’ to criticism. They have also tried to delegitimize each other by using religious epistemology.

There are plenty of historical instances of how political crises in Minangkabau have come about as a result of contestation over religious epistemology. For instance, the conflict between the Padri group and the Syathariah Sufi order in the 19th century was a result of doctrinal conflict and disagreement over the meaning of the terms tawhid, kafir, and sharia. Likewise,
the current dispute within Minangkabau society related to their adat system is connected to the contestation to have a control over adat and religious epistemology (Zakariya 2011, 195–203). The contestation between the proponents and opponents of Minangkabau adat to have control over religious epistemology has created polarized society as a result of the epistemological discrepancy.

By confronting emic\textsuperscript{12} (Kottak 2006, 47) and etic\textsuperscript{13} perspectives, the author finds the inconsistency in religious and adat terms among the Minangkabau people who live them and the scholars who have studied them. The failure to confront etic and emic approaches has led many people to become trapped in a misleading perception about the relationship between Islam and Minangkabau adat. Some scholars have only interpreted the Minangkabau adat partially. Based on our literature research, some scholars unintentionally failed to present the real meanings given of live events experienced by Minangkabau people by ignoring the epistemological discrepancy that occurred from within. Instead, they imposed their pre-established concepts and postulates. For example, the premise that Minangkabau people follow the matrilineal system is a false statement when we utilize Minangkabau people’s own epistemology of the family system. Likewise, the premise that the inheritance of land and property ownership in Minangkabau is against sharia law because it is handed down according to the matrilineal principle over generations was also a false statement according to Minangkabau

\textsuperscript{12} The emic approach is perspectives from within the social group (from the perspective of the subject) in explaining how local people think, how they perceive and categorize the world, their rules for behaviour, what has meaning for them, and how they imagine and explain things.

\textsuperscript{13} The etic approach refers to generalizations about human behaviour that are considered universally true, and commonly links cultural practices to factors of interest to the researcher (scientist-oriented) from outside (from the perspective of the observer).
Based on interviews with local ulama in Tanah Datar, they argued that the tenure system in Minangkabau is in accordance with sharia. From this point of view, sharia distinguishes between two kinds of practice; namely, ‘ibādat (practices concerning the relations between God and human beings, or devotional practices) and mu’āmalat (social ethics, i.e., the part of the law that guides the relations between humans). The ‘ibādat includes the shalāt (prayer), zakāt (almmsgiving), fasting during the holy month of Ramadan, and the hajj. The ‘ibādat are constant and do not allow for varying interpretations based on spatial and temporal circumstances. Meanwhile, the mu’āmalat is a set of rules (fiqh) related to worldly matters such as business, trading, commerce transactions, lending and borrowing contracts. Mu’āmalat also involves the rules regarding the social interactions between humans such as marriage, inheritance (waqf, faraidh) and other human activities that require interpretation or ijtihād. In an interview with Afrizal Tuanku Mudo, traditional ulama from Minangkabau (who lives in Batam), stated that:

“Matrilineal and land tenure systems in Minangkabau are purely mu’āmalat related matters that require Minangkabau people to perform ijtihād that takes into account the evolving ‘urf or ‘ādah. Having said that, the Matrilineal and land tenure systems that we are still practicing are actually based on ijmā’ ulama in Minangkabau”

Mr. Afrizal was referring to an ijmā’ which was held in Bukittinggi from the 4-5 May 1952 and in Padang in 1968. The ijmā’ essentially concluded that the land tenure system in Minangkabau is not contrary to sharia. Furthermore, selling inheritance

---

14 In Islamic jurisprudence, ijmā’ is the doctrine that the consensus of those with sufficient knowledge to practice ijtihād, or independent judicial reasoning, constitutes one of the sources of fiqh.
in Minangkabau is considered as *harām*, prohibited according to the *sharia*. The *ijmā* related to the land tenure issues were constructed due to the status of the land in Minangkabau tradition. It is divided into two different categories; firstly, *harato pusako randah*, low property or matrimonial property. Secondly, *harato pusako tinggi*, high property or property that belongs to a clan. There is no disagreement over the status of *harato pusako randah* that is private property. If the owner dies, the property will be distributed to his/her heirs in accordance with the rules *faraidh*\(^{15}\) and the property is able to be sold.

Contradictory to *harato pusako tinggi*, ulama at that time concluded that *harato pusako tinggi* had been separated from the matrimonial property. They concluded that the status of *harato pusako tinggi* was similar to *waqf*\(^{16}\) and *musabalah* property that was practiced by Caliph Umar ibn Khatthab over a plot of his land in Khaybar. Umar Bin Khatthab gave his land in Khaybar to be used by the entire population. Due to the mandate of the first owners of the land, the descendants of Umar Ibn Khatthab have no right to own land, let alone to sell it.

Similar to *harato pusako tinggi* in Minangkabau, this property is not considered to be private property, but belongs to the community. According to *fiqh* law, the status of *harato pusako tinggi* is a *musabalah*, means that property ownership is collective. Due to the land property status, when the user died, the

---

\(^{15}\) *Faraidh* is a division of the estate after the death of a Muslim who has been prescribed under Islamic law on the legal heirs and entitled (as daughter, wife, husband, mother, father, etc.). The property is divided into the remaining heirs property left after all the funeral rites of financing, whether debt heir of the religious (like charity, vows, etc.) or due to human and will discharge permitted by law.

\(^{16}\) A *waqf* is an unconditional and permanent dedication of property with implied detention in the ownership of God in such a manner that the property of the owner may be extinguished and its profit may revert to or be applied for the benefit of mankind except for purposes prohibited by Islam.
property is not passed on to the heir but returned to the clan. The property is devoted to a common fund for their entire clan. Each member of the clan can use but cannot own it (Syarifuddin 1984, 269–70). To be specific, this property only covers property objects; land, gardens, houses, graveyards, and pools.

*Ijmā’ of ulama* in Minangkabau at the time decided that the inheritance in Minangkabau tradition over *harato pusako tinggi* did not mean to transition property from the private owner to their heir, but to transfer the rights to manage the land over to the *harato pusako tinggi* inheritance. Based on an interview with Yus Datuk Perpatih, a prominent Minangkabau *adat* expert, he stated that:

“The management of *harato pusako tinggi* in Minangkabau is similar to the change of management of a foundation that manages the certain property. The death of a caretaker does not bring any influence on the status of the property. The status of *harato pusako tinggi* is totally different from the form of inheritance that is private. According to Fiqh laws, the transition of private property from the dead to the living is handing it down according to *faraidh*. Meanwhile, for *harato pusako tinggi* means a change of care takers, not of the ownership.

In Minangkabau, the *harato pusako tinggi* is considered a legacy that proves the “origin” of a person is of Minang descent (Minangkabau ethnic). Someone who no longer has the *harato pusako tinggi* in Minangkabau is not considered to be a complete Minangkabau ethnic. The *harato pusako tinggi* has the role as a unifier of the community of clan members, as well as to determine the origin of indigenous descent path. As such, *harato pusako tinggi* property also has a role as a reserve property, if there is an urgent need for clan member accordance with the provisions of indigenous customs. Based on interviews with
Mak Datuak:

“Can we imagine if *harato pusako tinggi* inheritance in Minangkabau is sellable? The Minangkabau society would experience the same fate as the other ethnicities in Indonesia. They would be eliminated from their native village because their property would be taken over by the bourgeois and capitalists. The *harato pusako tinggi* is a mandate from the first owner, which is intended to be used but not to be owned as a private property. Therefore, we don’t let the property disappear or vanish from our hands. The property is not private property, but it is for the common good. We have to keep it for the next generation. In the management of this property, there is only the right to use it; there is no right of ownership, so it is a great sin if the property is sold.”

The commonly accepted concept that the inheritance of land and property ownership in Minangkabau is against *sharia* law because it handed down according to the matrilineal principle over generations is now being challenged by the occurrence of epistemological discrepancy among Minangkabau people. Unfortunately, there is not a single study that has been conducted concerning religious and *adat* epistemological discrepancy related to the Matrilineal and land tenure systems in Minangkabau. As a logical consequence, some scholars have made hasty conclusions regarding the matrilineal and land tenure systems in Minangkabau.

Some scholars specifically argue that the matrilineal and land tenure systems in Minangkabau are not in accordance with *sharia* (Kato 2005, 1; Jeffrey 2008; Jong 1960; Sanday 2003; Graves 1984; Kahn 2007; Drakard 1999; Blackwood 2001; Reenen 1996; Dobbin 1987). The premise was developed on the basis of an assumption that the *sharia* is in essence patriarchal in nature and thus Muslim women are considered inferior to men; where
Muslim women depend on close male relatives in matters concerning maintenance, divorce, and guardianship (Esposito 1982, 48; Hjarpe 1983, 15; Schact 1964, 126; Anderson 1967, 221). Emphasising this contradiction, Tsuyoshi Kato (2005, 1–4) even predicted that the matrilineal system in Minangkabau would decline as the Islamisation of the Minangkabau people progresses. She argues that “How such a (matrilineal) system could work in conjunction with the patrilineal Islamic legal framework has excited a good deal of speculation” (Kato 2005, 1).

For more than two centuries, almost all the anthropologists and historians who have studied Minangkabau argue that both Minangkabau adat are not compatible with Islam. Reeves Saniday (2003) makes a compelling argument:

“Minangkabau in its gender egalitarianism is a true matriarchy. Undoubtedly, the seeming contradiction of Islam and the matrilineal system has shaped the past two hundred years of Minangkabau history. The society has struggled with conflict, namely, Islamic inheritance, child custody, and residence laws, which are patrilineal and patrilocal, while the Minangkabau affiliated with large clan houses that are passed down from one generation of women to the next, defined by a common female ancestor”.

A similar hasty conclusion was drawn by Edwin Loeb. He argued that Minangkabau social and political organizations are a bewildering mixture of pagan, matrilineal, Hindu and patrilineal-oriented Islam (Loeb 1934, 27). Jan Prins also argued that Islam and Minangkabau customary laws are not in agreement. Prins acknowledged that Minangkabau society is thoroughly Muslim and many prominent Muslim Indonesians are of the Minangkabau ethnic. However, he argued that Minangkabau matrilineal system seems to contradict with Islamic teaching.
Prins emphasized that a husband has no real power over his wife and children and this contradicts with the patrilineal-oriented Islam (Prins 1951, 283–300). Prins (1951, 51) also quoted Snouck Hurgronje as saying that:

“This dispute would lead to a more or less rapid victory of sharia, because nowhere in the world would Islam tolerate a matrilineal system to regulate relationships and matrimony in the family, in the long run.”

Franz and Keebet von Benda-Beckmann also claim that Minangkabau customary laws seem to contradict sharia laws. They argue that Minangkabau tradition is famous for its matrilineal principles structuring descent groups, property and inheritance as well as political leadership. Meanwhile, sharia laws provide a very different model of social, economic and political organization and male authority. They claim that the struggles between the two systems and their protagonists have become well-known in Minangkabau (Franz and Keebet von 2006, 239). They argue that:

“When Islam and the sharia came to West Sumatera in the 16th century, it encountered a local political and legal system that was rather different from the sharia’s blueprint for social, economic and political relations.” (Franz and Keebet von 2006, 239)

When studying Minangkabau history, this postulation has led some scholars to conclude that the Padri movement was a pioneer against the Minangkabau matrilineal and land tenure systems in Minangkabau. Hadler (2008, 8–9) believed that Padri movement resisted it. While evidence showing Padri’s declared jihad against Minangkabau matrilineal structure was never provided. He argued that;
“The Padri certainly could not reconcile the Minangkabau matrilineal and matrilocal longhouses with the essential teachings of Islam. However, the neo-Wahabis did not upset the matriarchal system in West Sumatera.”

Similarly to Hadler, Abdul A’la describes that Tuanku Nan Renceh, one of the founders of the Padri movement, sent a powerful message to the Minangkabau by killing his own aunty. A’la wrote,

“Tuanku Nan Renceh was responsible for the suffering of the elderly woman who happened to be his own aunt. When the poor women died, he decreed the body should not be properly buried, but be thrown away in the bushes. The lady was killed by the Padris simply because she ate sirih leaves” (A’la 2008, 284–85).

If Tuanku Nan Renceh really killed his aunt simply because she ate sirih leaves, which is not even considered makruh\(^\text{17}\) in Islam, how could Hadler argue that the Padri never declared jihad against the matrilineal and heritance land ownership in Minangkabau, if it was against the essential teachings of Islam? Hadler’s argument conflicts with the anecdotal evidence given by A’la.

Different historical evidence linked to the end of 19\(^{\text{th}}\) century, however, provides an alternative perspective on the rise of resistance against the local matrilineal structure. The idea to challenge the sharia and the matrilineal and land tenure systems in Minangkabau arose at the end of the 19\(^{\text{th}}\) century. The first ulama\(^\text{18}\) who argued that the matrilineal and land tenure systems

---

\(^{17}\) The word ‘makruh’ literally means something ‘disliked’, ‘not recommended’ or ‘undesirable’. According to sharia it is any action that one is told not to do without making it prohibited.

\(^{18}\) Ulama literally mean “those who have knowledge” or “those who know” (singular ‘alim, plural ‘ulama). The term is most widely used to refer to the scholarly class of Muslim societies, whose main occupation is the study of the texts that make up the Islamic Tradition (religious sciences such as Qu-
were not in accordance with sharia law was Shaikh Ahmad Khatib Al-Minangkabawy\textsuperscript{19}. Commenting on the land tenure system in Minangkabau, he wrote a book titled, “Al-Dā’il al-Masmū’ fī al-Raddi ‘alā Man Yūrīts al-Ikhwāh wa Aulād al-Akhwāt ma’a Wujūd al-Ushl wa al-Furū’”.

Likewise, Evers (1975, 87), Moubrey (1931), and Maretin (1961, 168–95) have even insisted that the Minangkabau matrilineal system is being replaced by a more patrilineal oriented system as the Islamisation of the Minangkabau people progresses. In contrast to many predictions, the matrilineal system in Minangkabau is far from disappearing. Among many scholars who argue that Minangkabau customary laws seem to contradict with sharia laws, Taufik Abdullah has shown that sharia and Minangkabau customary laws have not necessarily led to corresponding legal and political struggles (Abdullah 1966, 1–24). In his writing, Abdulllah has shown that the matrilineal and land tenure systems were justified by sharia and Minangkabau people’s understanding about sharia was very dynamic. Similarly, Abdul Karim Amrullah and Hazairin, also argue that the cultural assimilation between Islamic culture and Minangkabau local culture was justified by sharia (Hazairin 1982, 62). The traditional Islamic groups and Islamist groups in Minangkabau, have different interpretations toward sharia and Minangkabau adat.

\textsuperscript{19} Shaikh Ahmad Khatib al-Minangkabawy (1860–1916) was born in Koto Tuo, Ampek Angkek, Agam Regency, West Sumatra on 6 Dzulhijjah 1276 H (1860 M) and died in Mecca on 8 Jumadil Awwal 1334 H (1916 M). He served as the head (Imam) of the Shafi’i school of law at the Mosque of Mecca (Masjid al-Haram). Many Indonesian Islamic reformist leaders learned from him, including Ahmad Dahlan, as founder Muhammadiyah and Hasyim Asyari, as founder Nahdlatul Ulama.
Cultural Assimilation in Minangkabau

Snouck Hurgronje, who wrote about the ‘Indonesian’ community in Mecca during the 1880s, pointed out that the relationship between Muslims in this region and in the Middle East was unique. On one hand, the Indonesian people sought knowledge and inspiration from the Muslims in the Middle East, but they then adapted this knowledge to their local culture which was different from the source (Hurgronje 2007, 243–52; see Azra 2003). Minangkabau society is not an exception to this phenomenon. The cultural assimilation between Islam and local culture considered as adaptable and intelligent of Muslims from this region to mix Islamic teaching and their local culture to create a very rich religious synthesis (Geertz 1968, 121–26; Woodward 1989, 83–89).

Based on the author’s interviews with some local ulama, they argued that the cultural assimilation between Islamic culture and Minangkabau local culture was justified by Islamic teaching, as can be seen from the Islamic traditional text (kitab kuning), especially ushul fiqh (the reason for the principles of jurisprudence) books, that have been used for hundred years in Minangkabau. In an interview with Zakirman Tuanku Sutan, a teacher at Pesantren Nurul Yaqin, Ringan-ringan, Padang Pariaman stated:

“Ultimately, I am in agreement with the opinions that there has been a cultural assimilation between Islamic culture of the Middle East and the Minangkabau culture. Nevertheless, the argument that matrilineal and the land tenure systems in Minangkabau are not in accordance with sharia is a haphazard argument. They don’t understand the nature of sharia”.

Mr. Zakirman emphasized that some religious leaders especially those who had been influenced by Salafi and Wahabi
ideology in West Sumatera could not distinguish the difference between sharia and *fiqh*. This raises its own problems because the two terms have significant differences, although they also have a close relationship. *Sharia* is a rule that exists in the Quran and is believed by Muslims to be a provision that aims to regulate the life of the holy Muslim community. On the other hand, when a Muslim faces an issue that is not directly addressed by the *sharia*, the Quran asks Muslims to use the *fiqh*. This is using their own mind, based on what the Quran tells them, making their own decision about what action to take (Al-Zuhaili 1986).

Clearly, the *sharia* and *fiqh* are very different. The *sharia* covers laws and principles of Islamic teachings, while *fiqh* is concerned with decisions made by Muslims in daily life (Sirry 1996, 18). Although the actual meaning of *sharia* and *fiqh* are different, they are flexible and combine to create what Muslim people refer to as ‘Islamic sharia law’.

There are three differences between the *sharia* and *fiqh*. Firstly, the *sharia* is the revealed law of God contained in the Quran, while *fiqh* is the conclusion that Muslim people make in decision making, drawing guidance from the *sharia* law. Secondly, the *sharia* law is definite and unchanging, written in the Quran. In contrast the *fiqh* changes in accordance to the situation and condition where it is applied, and the different interpretation of each Muslim. Thirdly, most of the *sharia* laws are general, basic principles. Meanwhile, *fiqh* law relates to specific issues showing how the basic principles of *sharia* could be applied according to

---

*Fiqh* is usually understood as Islamic jurisprudence. It is the practice of discovering God’s law (*sharia*), writing treatises about it, and relating the practice of law to revelation. Historically, *fiqh* arose from a systematic analysis of the Quran and Hadith combined with rigorous analogical reasoning, *qiyās*. In both Shi’i and Sunni’ Islamic circles, this led to various “schools” madhhab, which were based regionally or other ideas of an eponymous founder.
different circumstances (Philips 2000, introduction). Nevertheless, the fiqh can be interpreted as Islamic sharia law. However, ‘legal’ here does not necessarily mean legislation (rules/law) enforced by the government and state. Instead, Islamic sharia is closer to the concept of religious ethics of Islam. The main feature of Islamic law is not only the realization of rewards and punishment on the earth but also the consequence of punishment in the afterlife for wrong doing (Azizy 2003, 14–15; 2002, 13).

Moreover, Muslims have taken into account the importance of awareness and wisdom to make the historical reflection to the early Islamic period in creating Islamic fiqh thought (Hal-laq 2009, 7–13; Ash-Shiddiqy 1966, 42). In the fiqh books written by Abu al-Hasan al-Mawardi, Muhammad Ibn Idris asy-Syafi’i, Zakaria al-Anshari, and Yusuf al-Qardhawi shows that there were many cultures that existed in the pre-Islamic era, which were adopted and practiced by the prophet Muhammad. Prophet Muhammad created many rules of customary law that were legalized in Arab society to create the opportunity for the practice of customary law in the Islamic legal system (Khadduri 2010, 15–21). Hajj and Umrah were practiced in Arab societies, long before Islam arrived. Arab societies conducted worship, as carried out by Muslims today, including: talbiyyah, ihram and wuqaf. After the arrival of Islam, the practices continued with some changes. For example, thawaf was performed naked by the Arab society (Karim 2003, x–xi). The Muslims banned this and instead carry out this worship fully dressed.

The laws established by Prophet Muhammad and his successors (Shahabat) always took into account the evolving culture of the community. Caliph Umar, for example, adopted the postal service system which is a community tradition of Sasanid and the Byzantine empires (Karim 2003, 3–13). In the establishment
of fiqh we can see the influence of different cultures in the laws that were created. Abu Hanifa\textsuperscript{21} took advantage of customs and social habits of a diverse range of communities as a secondary source to the law as long as they did not contradict with the Qur'an (Lukito 2001, 11). Similarly, Imam Malik was influenced by Medina indigenous communities when developing fiqh theory (Philips 2000, 99–104; Lukito 2001, 21).

Similarly, based on fiqh books that are emerging in the traditional Islamic boarding schools (pesantren) in West Sumatera, this perspective of Islamic law teaches that the new approach must be in accordance with ‘urf (local culture and traditions). For instance, in the fiqh books; such as Al-Ushul min ‘Ilm al-Ushul (The Principle of the Knowledge Principle of Islamic Law) written by Muhammad bin Sholeh al-Utsaimin, it is stated that Islamic law is adaptable to social change. ‘Urf, often translated as ‘culture’ in English is described as the product of human idea (Tylor 1871, 1; Koentjaraningrat 1974, 11). Qardhawi explains that ‘urf is the habits and behaviour of people in everyday life which become hereditary customs, both the words and behaviours, whether general or specific (Qardhawi 1996, 30). Raymond Williams defines three categories of culture. Firstly, culture can be used to refer to a process of intellectual, spiritual and aesthetic development. Secondly, culture can mean a particular view of life from a certain society. Thirdly, culture can refer to intellectual practices, especially artistic activity (Storey 1997, 2–3). These definitions illustrate that the cultural sphere is both very broad and general. Peter L. Berger adds that culture is the totality of human products, both material and non-material.

\textsuperscript{21} Abu Hanifa was the founder of the Hanafi “school of Sunni” law. Little is known about his life. He lived in Kufah as a cloth merchant and collected a great number of traditions, which he passed on to his students. He never held any official post or worked as a judge (qadli).
Because the ‘urf is an inseparable part of a person, it is used in formulating the fiqh law (Shabana 2010, 59–60).

Evidence shows that many cultures that existed in the pre-Islamic era were adopted and practiced by the prophet Muhammad. This indicates that Islam was not born in order to eliminate the entire culture of the pre-Islamic Arab society. Prophet Muhammad created many rules of customary law that legalized Arab society and so enabled the practice of customary law in the Islamic legal system. This suggests that the Prophet Muhammad did not force Arab society to change their culture, as long as the law was not against the principles of the fundamental teachings of Islam. The significance of the role of culture in Islamic law was later practiced by Prophet Mohammad’s successors. Thus, culture has an important position in the history of Islamic law. It is also proved that the cultures that develop in the Islamic community do not have to obey Islamic law following the Arab style, but rather the Islamic law should be made to adapt to each culture of each community as long as it does not contradict the fundamental teachings and spirit of Islam. Not all ‘urf conflicts with Islamic teachings and therefore, they can be used as the source of Islamic law.

One important factor which explains social influence in the cultural constructs of Islamic fiqh is related to the phenomenon of Imam Syafi’i. His school of thought is followed by the traditional Muslims in Indonesia. The history of his life shows that his thinking was strongly influenced by the surrounding community. In his book Al-Umm and fiqh books written by ulama who follow the Syafi’i tradition, including Mukhtashar al-Muzannī, al-Lubāb fī al-Fiqhi al-Syāfi’ī, al-Iqnā’ fī al-Fiqhi al-Syāfi’ī, it is shown that social conditions and circumstances affected most of Imam Syafi’i’s thoughts of fiqh law. The clearest example of this
is the emergence of the so-called *qaul qadīm* (the old statement) and *qaul jādīd* (new statement) in the spectrum of thought of Imam Syafi’i. Originally, Imam Syafi’i made a statement for *fiqh*. However, later this statement became *qaul qadīm* (old statement) because he came across a new situation which led him to create a new statement or *qaul jādīd*. This indicates the flexibility of *fiqh*.

Josept Schacht, who interprets the sharia as a set of religious rules the totality of God’s commandments that are in place to help Muslims govern their behavior in daily life (Schact 1964, 1), is completely opposite to many traditional *ulama* in Minangkabau. Based on the aforementioned perspective about *sharia* and *fiqh*, traditional Muslims in Minangkabau, believe that Islamic law is understood as a doctrine associated with the dimensions of the time and place. Changing the time and place must influence the interpretation or *ijtihād* in Islamic law. The ability to adapt Islamic law is flexible and can be applied to every time and place (*fi kulli zamānin wa fī kulli makānin*). Syatibi (1302 H.) in his book, *al-Muwafaqāt fī Ushūl al-Sharī‘ah* (The Reconciliation of the Fundamental of Islamic Law) wrote that “The rules of *sharia* have been designed to produce benefits (*mashālīh*) and remove evils (*mafāsid*) and these are certainly their ends and objectives.” The *mashālīh* are those which promote the preservation and fulfillment of human life, and the realization of all that human nature and rationale demands, until one is happy in every respect.

The late Abdurrahman Wahid, a former Indonesian President, conceptualized the localized Islamic teaching. Localised Islamic teaching is interpreted as an attempt to hold onto the

---

22 A word derived from the same root as jihad, ‘to strive or make an effort, to exert oneself, in jurisprudence it means the exercise of independent judgment by one who has sufficient knowledge’.
cultural roots of Indonesia, while still trying to create a religious community (Wahid 1989, 96). Wahid tried to position Islam and other cultures in a dialogic position. From this basis, he refused the movements of “Islamisation”, “Arabisation” or “the formalization of Islamic teachings in the cultural sphere”. Secondly, he argued for the respect of local traditions. In this case, Islamic law should be considered as a local tradition, not as an object that must be defeated, but as the positioning of Islamic law in the dialogic dimension.

Salafi-Wahabi Influence in West Sumatera

There is a trend among some Minangkabau people to embrace the Salafi-Wahabi ideology to understand the interpretation of sharia. This religious point of view has acquired great support from a majority of Muslims in the urban areas of Minangkabau, such as Padang, Padang Panjang and Bukittinggi. Based on an interview with Irfianda Abidin Datuk Penghulu, the Chairman of the Islamic Law Enforcement Committee (KPSI) of West Sumatera, he stated:

“Although sharia regulations have not been implemented thoroughly in West Sumatera, we can see an increasing growing consciousness to enforce Islamic laws and practices at the society level. Therefore, besides struggling for the implementation of sharia regulations, we have to focus on the society as a focal point”.23

Salafi-Wahabi groups always attempt to control the fixed meaning of Islamic vocabulary such as sharia, kafir, syirk among others. The ability to have control over Islamic discourse is an important ‘symbolic’ resource that defines the power base of Minangkabau Muslim communities and a first major form of controlling Minangkabau peoples’ thoughts and behaviors. By

---

23 The interview was conducted in November 2015.
controlling Islamic discourse, they are able to simply tell society what to believe or what to do. They actively propagate their religious interpretation through social media such as Facebook and Twitter.\textsuperscript{24} Beside quotes and posts of some verses from the Quran and Hadith to show the contradiction between sharia and Minangkabau Adat culture to the members of the groups, the supporters of Salafi- Wahabi groups also quotes some western prominent anthropological scholars, such as Peter L Berger, Christine Dobbin, Jane Drakard, J.L. Esposito, Elizabeth E. Graves, Jeffrey Hadler, Tsuyosh Kato, who have argued that the matrilineal and land tenure systems contradict with x. They frequently upload the scholars’ papers to the Facebook groups.

Social media is a crucial tool for Islamic purification groups to disseminate their religious interpretations and is an easy way to connect with Minangkabau people on a personal level. Grass-roots Minangkabau people are their passive target. In the aforementioned Facebook groups, the supporters of the Islamic purification groups consistently and frequently post their arguments attacking Minangkabau matrilineal and land tenure systems. Currently, their interpretation of sharia has begun to be integrated into laws, rules, norms, and habits among Minangkabau Muslim society and thus takes a form of “cultural hegemony” among the society of Minangkabau.

With their emphasis on a strict, legalistic, and exclusive un-

\textsuperscript{24} There are plenty of facebook groups created by the supporters of Islamic purification ideas aiming to eliminate the matrilineal and the land tenure system in Minangkabau, among other: Perjalanan Islam Dalam Menyempurnakan Adat Minangkabau, (https://www.facebook.com/groups/islam.minangkabau/?ref=ts&fref=ts); Tinjauan Kritis: Doktrin Adat Minangkabau, (https://www.facebook.com/groups/58386894982653/?ref=ts&fref=ts); Gerakan Penegakan Syariat Islam Dalam Budaya Adat Minangkabau, (https://www.facebook.com/groups/144908092370479/?ref=ts&fref=ts); Komoditas Peduli Adat Syarak Minangkabau, (https://www.facebook.com/groups/kpa.minangkabau/)
derstanding of *sharia*, the Islamic purification groups have pursued to divide society into “the house of Islam” (*dār al-Islām*) and “the house of the enemy” (*dār al-harb*), resulting in a perception that non-Muslims and “the West”, are permanent “enemies of Islam.” They always emphasize their religious identity by following the doctrine *al-Wala’ Wa al-Bara’* (defining people in two extreme views, Muslims, and non-believers). For instance, in 2013, Lippo Group had to revoke their Rp. 2 trillion investment project to build a hospital (Siloam) and school in Padang city because of the Islamist groups’ protest against what they call the ‘Christianisation’ of the province. For many Islamist leaders, James Riady, the owner of Lippo Group uses his project to spread Christianity in Padang. The Indonesian Ulama Council (*Majelis Ulama Indonesia*) in Padang rejected all forms of investment by Riady’s groups. The supporters of the Islamist movement created a Facebook group to disseminate their concern, namely, *Umat Islam Sumatera Barat Menolak Investasi Bermuatan Misi Pemurtadan*, with 73,089 members in the group.

In the political context, the Salafi-Wahabi groups believe that Islam not only lays the fundamentals of worship but also of politics and the state (*dīn wa daulah*) (Tahrir 2005, 14–89). They believe that the country’s political legitimacy should be based on *sharia*. Therefore, they are always fighting to establish *sharia* as the basis of the laws in Indonesia, including in the West Sumatera province. In an interview with Mahyeldi Ansharullah, Mayor of Padang city, from Prosperous and Justice Party (PKS), he stated that:

---

25 Interview with Buya Gusrizal, Head of Majelis Ulama Indonesia (MUI), conducted in November 2015.
“The application of sharia laws in Padang is an obligation, as Minangkabau society upholds religious and Minangkabau traditional values. The commitment to religion and tradition is clearly stated in the guiding philosophy of life of Minangkabau people, adaik basandi sarak, sarak basandi Kitabullah. West Sumatera province is left behind compared to Aceh and South Sulawesi, in implementing sharia. Therefore, we have to work extra hard to implement the sharia laws.”

At the national level, a survey conducted by the Research Centre for the Study of Islam and Society (Pusat Kajian Islam dan Masyarakat, PPIM) of Syarif Hidayatullah Islamic State University showed a trend of Indonesia’s Muslims becoming more religious, pious, and growing more conservative. Conducted in 2001, the survey showed that religious identity is important to Indonesians when they assess the prospective quality of their government and leaders. The survey showed that 57.8 percent (in 2001) and 67 percent (in 2002) of Indonesians supported the idea that the Indonesia political system should be based on Islamic teaching. The West Sumatera province is not an exception to this trend. As well as the establishment of sharia laws at the provincial and regional levels, the religious discourse in West Sumatera, especially in the urban areas, is controlled by Islamists. They actively propagate their religious interpretation through social media. By doing so, they are confident that their religious worldview will become the worldview that is imposed and accepted as the cultural norm and as the universally valid dominant religious interpretation that justifies their political actions in Minangkabau. Currently, most urban Minangkabau people tend to voice their support for the implementation of sharia laws.

27 The Interview was conducted in November 2015.
According to a report by the Setara Institute, in the West Sumatera province, the rate of politicisation of religion is particularly high. This province recorded the second highest number of incidents of violations to freedom of religion in Indonesia (Hasani 2009). For instance, at the beginning of 2012, a civil servant, Alexander Aan, posted a message on the Facebook page ‘Atheist Minang’, which he created. The post so enraged residents in Aan’s hometown of Pulau Punjung in West Sumatera that an angry mob of dozens stormed his office and beat him up. To add insult to injury, police then arrested him and he was sentenced to two-and-a-half years in prison on June 15, 2012, under the Blasphemy Law for publicly declaring himself an atheist (Mandari 2012; The Jakarta Post 2014)

Incidents of violations to freedom of religion and belief in West Sumatera, such as the Aan case and the dissolution of the Ahmadiyah group in Padang, highlight that Minangkabau peoples’ attitudes have been influenced by the Salafi-Wahabi movements. Large numbers of Minangkabau people, especially those who live in the urban areas, consider Islam as a religion that has developed a new paradigm, replacing their existing culture. Thus Islam is viewed as a religion that appears to change the whole system of culture, especially the pre-Islamic Arabia. The pre-Islamic period is often considered as a period of un civilised attitudes (jahiliyah). These Islamic groups certainly consider the matrilineal and land tenure systems in Minangkabau as pre-Islamic culture and part of jahiliyah culture that needs to be eliminated. Ustadz Amir Bahar, a member of HTI from Malalo village, Tanah Datar, stated that:

“Ultimately, the matrilineal and land tenure systems in Minangkabau oppose sharia law. Islam is a perfect religion, which not only lays the fundamentals for worship but also of politics and the state (dīn wa daulah). There-
fore, we have to eliminate the matrilineal system in Minangkabau. God, through the Prophet Muhammad had made the *sharia* for Muslims. *Sharia* is the rules set by God that must be implemented in the life of society and humanity.”

A similar argument was also expressed by the government officials and business communities. There has been numerous development and investment projects that have had to be postponed due to land acquisition problems. In an interview with Risoriah from Tanah Datar, a businessman of bauxite mining companies operating in Tanjungpinang, stated:

“Many investments and infrastructure projects could not be realized due to land acquisition problems in West Sumatera. Based on my experience for more than 10 years doing business in my hometown in West Sumatera, getting the land is the last and highest hurdle. The local governments have to be able to change the land ownership system if they want to develop their region.”

As a result, conflicts arise, both among indigenous communities (internal conflict-horizontal), and between indigenous peoples with a third party (external conflict-vertical), especially with the government and private companies. From 2004 to 2008 there were 116 cases of conflict over 125,924 hectares of *Tanah Pusako* in West Sumatera (LBH-Padang 2010).

**Conclusion**

The evidence shows that the interpretations of *sharia* in Minangkabau are very dynamic. Both those who support and oppose the Minangkabau customary laws, use *sharia* to legitimise their points of view. The character of *sharia* in the history of Islam, including in Minangkabau land, has changed over the
centuries and the understanding of sharia is now fundamentally different from what it was at the time sharia law developed in the seventh and eighth centuries. Many proponents of the formal implementation of sharia in Indonesia, in this case in Minangkabau, emphasize only the legal subject matter in defining the sharia. In many cases, they use the words hukm or ahkam (legal) to characterize sharia as a legal subject matter. No wonder then, that the term sharia is used interchangeably with ‘Islamic law’. Misinterpretation of the development in the adat of inheritance and sharia discourse in Minangkabau has resulted in a superficial conclusion. Unfortunately, these biases become manifest, on one hand, in a dramatization of the matrilineal principle as more or less the only one which structured “past” Minangkabau society; on the other hand in an equally strong dramatization of any change or deviance from this hypothesized matrilineal.
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