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Abstract

The use of learning media in the form of modules and learning videos is not interactive so they make students feel bored and less active when learning. It causes students to have difficulty solving HOTS-type questions. Thus, this study aims to develop scientific-based interactive mathematics multimedia to increase the HOTS of the similar acceleration of the students and congruence materials with the help of the Smart Apps Creator. This study uses the R&D method with the ADDIE model. The population was 22 students in class VIII-J which is an accelerated class at MTsN 5 Nganjuk who used a saturated sampling technique. The data analysis technique in this research is descriptive quantitative using percentage calculations and using paired sample t-tests with the help of the SPSS application. The instruments are a questionnaire for material, media and HOTS questions expert validation, a questionnaire for teacher and student responses, pretest-posttest on similarity and congruence material. The results of the validation of the material expert obtained the "Eligible" interpretation with percentage of 80%, the media expert obtained the "Very Eligible" interpretation with percentage of 92.5% and HOTS question experts obtained the "Eligible" interpretation with percentage of 77.14%. The results of the teacher's response, the student's response to the limited test, and the group test obtained the interpretation of "Very Eligible" with percentage of 81.9%, 88.78% and 86.70% respectively. By using the paired samples t-test based on SPSS, show a significance level of 0.000 was obtained where 0.000 < 0.05, which means that there was an increase in the HOTS of class VIII-J students after using the developed interactive mathematics multimedia. Based on the results obtained, the interactive mathematics multimedia developed can be used as a learning media for students.
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Project Based Learning dengan Stem Pada Materi Energi Alternatif untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Pemecahan Masalah Pada Sekolah Menengah Atas

Abstrak

Penggunaan media pembelajaran berupa modul dan video pembelajaran tidak interaktif sehingga membuat siswa merasa bosan dan kurang aktif saat proses pembelajaran. Hal tersebut menyebabkan siswa mengalami kesulitan dalam menyelesaikan soal-soal bertipe HOTS. Dengan demikian, penelitian
INTRODUCTION

Many students feel that mathematics is very difficult because of the formulas and calculations that make students feel less interested and bored with mathematics lessons, causing a decrease in student learning outcomes (Jhonson & Tambunan, 2015; Khairani, 2016; Mubarok & Zahro, 2018). Factors that cause students to feel that mathematics is difficult include learning mathematics that is not interesting, seems meaningless, and not dynamic, monotonous learning in one direction, and the use of mathematics learning media that is not optimal in the classroom (Jhonson & Tambunan, 2015; Mubarok & Zahro, 2018; Parsianti et al., 2020).

Syamsudin et al., (2021) said that one that has an important role in learning activities is learning media. Learning media are tools that can be manipulated and used to touch students' feelings, thoughts, interests, and actions, to create an easier learning process (Mubarok & Zahro, 2018). The accuracy of the learning media used is certainly beneficial for students in learning according to their abilities and can clarify the presentation of messages. The existence of learning media provides support for students to more easily understand the subject matter. That is, student learning outcomes will be
better if many senses are involved in learning activities. In addition, learning media is intended to increase the effectiveness of learning (Mubarok & Zahro, 2018; Yusri & Husaini, 2017).

Multimedia is a learning media that contains two or more objects such as visuals, audio, text, and others and can make learning activities more interesting, interactive, and fun for students (Syahroni & Nurfitriyanti, 2018). Interactive is a two-way or more communication/interaction process of communication components such as the reciprocal interaction between computers and humans (Jhonson & Tambunan, 2015). So, interactive multimedia is a combination of text, images, animation, audio, and video in one software in which direct interaction occurs (Novitasari, 2016). Using interactive multimedia learning can provide benefits and positive results so that the learning process runs more easily (Sanusi et al., 2015).

Smart Apps Creator is an easy-to-operate application to create learning multimedia whose products can be implemented on Android. In this day and age, many people use Android or smartphones, including students. The advantages of using Smart Apps Creator are: 1) Can create applications easily and cheaply without using HTML programming language; 2) The application form can be used offline and online; 3) Has many tools so that it can include various objects such as images, animations, audio, videos, to quizzes accompanied by feedback; 4) Can be used anytime and anywhere; 5) The application can be downloaded for free and there is no time limit (Suhartati, 2021; Yuberti et al., 2021).

In addition to learning media that must be considered, teachers must also be good at choosing appropriate and appropriate learning methods when delivering subject matter to students. Most teachers tend to use a learning process that emphasizes the lecture method, doing practice questions, memorizing and calculating speed so that students become less active, feel bored, and are lazy when learning mathematics (Istiqlal, 2017). (Sulistyawati et al., 2021) said that teachers are expected to determine the right learning approach. The scientific approach is a learning method where students are placed as actors (main subjects) of learning, while teachers only have a position as facilitators so that students become more active during the learning process (Rangkuti et al., 2021). The stages of the scientific approach are observing (activities to identify problems), questioning (activities to formulate problems or hypotheses), trying
(activities to analyze data), reasoning (activities to conclude), and communicating (activities to convey concepts that have been found) (Pratama et al., 2018; Sariningsih & Kadarisma, 2016).

Hosnan & Sikumbang (in Wibowo, 2017) stated the characteristics of the scientific approach: (1) the student becomes the center; (2) Includes process skills in mastering concepts, laws, and principles; (3) Includes potential cognitive processes in stimulating the development of intelligence, especially students' higher order thinking skills; (4) Can improve students' personality. Therefore, it is very suitable if the learning method with this scientific approach is used to convey mathematics subject matter such as similarity and congruence material.

Based on interviews with class IX mathematics teachers at MTsN 5 Nganjuk, he said that the learning media used was only in the form of modules whose contents consisted of presenting material and questions, making students still difficult to understand the concepts of similarity and congruence material, so they needed to be explained repeatedly. This is also in line with the results of research conducted by Hakiim & Hidayati (2021) dan Shaufia & Ranti (2020) which say that students have difficulty understanding concepts from corresponding angles and sides, and distinguishing which are similarity and congruent. Wulan et al. (2021) said that the foundation of learning is based on students understanding concepts so that students can more easily face the challenges that exist.

Some researchers have developed interactive or multimedia learning media on similarity and congruence materials (Fitriyani et al., 2020; Hidayatullah et al., 2020; Sa’adah et al., 2021; Sari & Rusmana, 2021), but in the study, they had not seen or hone students' HOTS (Higher Order Thinking Skills) abilities, even though based on Curriculum-13 students should be given HOTS type questions. The class IX mathematics teacher at MTsN 5 Nganjuk has provided an explanation of sample questions or provided practice questions of the HOTS type, but students cannot immediately understand and easily solve HOTS-type questions.

Higher-order thinking cannot be separated from learning activities in accelerated classes which are guided so that they can become active, critical, and creative students according to the intelligence potential and special talents of accelerated students (Rofiki, 2013). But, according to this mathematics teacher who teaches in the accelerated class
at MTsN 5 Nganjuk, not all students in the accelerated class easily work on questions that require them to be able to think at a higher level and based on student learning outcomes, it was found that many students still get grades in high school. under the KKM and based on the results of interviews with mathematics teachers in accelerated classes said that accelerated class students were bored if the presentation of the material was delivered by the lecture method so there were still students who were not active while the learning process was in progress. Therefore, it is the teacher's job to make the learning atmosphere in the accelerated class more fun and not monotonous so that students are not burdened with super-dense subject matter so that they can quickly and easily achieve the desired learning goals.

Presentation of material and learning atmosphere can create or develop interesting learning media. The media is expected to make students active so that they are not saturated with the dense material they have to receive. Especially if learning media can be used anywhere, both at school and outside school (Safira, 2020). Mustaqim (2017) said that good learning activities are learning processes that contain interactive, fun, challenging, and motivating aspects and provide more space for students to develop creativity and independence according to students' talents and interests.

There is another study that developed an Autograph media based on a scientific approach to improving students' HOTS (Rangkuti et al., 2021). In addition, some develop mathematics teaching materials with a contextual approach and guided discovery methods but to improve the EQ and SQ of accelerated junior high school students, namely research conducted by (Agustyarini, 2017; Agustyarini & Jailani, 2015). Suseno et al. (2020) say that although many studies have developed learning media, it does not mean the end of the innovation process in creating learning media. There is an opportunity to develop scientifically based interactive mathematics multimedia to increase the HOTS of accelerated students.

Based on the explanation above, the researcher intends to provide solutions to some of the problems that occur, namely developing a scientific-based interactive mathematics multimedia product to increase students' HOTS on similarity and congruence materials. It can help the run of learning process and can improve students' thinking in HOTS by using a scientific approach that aims to make students more active.
in participating in the learning process.

**METHODS**

The type of this research method is Research and Development (R&D) with the ADDIE development model, namely Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation (Rayanto & Sugianti, 2020). But, the researchers did not conduct field trials due to the limitations of the test subjects. The subjects of the research were the accelerated class students at MTsN 5 Nganjuk only consisted of 22 students. They had been divided into 6 students as limited test subjects and 16 students as group test subjects, as well as the limitations of the research space because not all schools implement accelerated programs. This research was carried out around the second semester of the 2022/2023 academic year.

The product trial design used is the One Group Pretest-Posttest Design with a saturated sampling technique. The experimental subjects in this research and development are material experts, media experts, and HOTS (C4, C5, and C6) questions experts. The expert criteria were mathematics lecturers with minimum qualifications of masters. The type of data was qualitative data sourced from the opinions of experts which can be in the form of criticism, suggestions, or comments on the developed multimedia. Then the quantitative data obtained from questionnaires given to validators and responses from teachers and students to assess multimedia products with scoring provisions.

The data collection instrument consisted of questionnaires (each for expert validation, teacher’s response, and student’s responses) and HOTS test (pretest and posttest) in similarity and congruence matter. Technical analysis of the data in this study is the assessment of the results of the questionnaire using a Likert scale as follows. The Likert scale guidelines such as Table 1. Then the data that has been collected is analyzed by calculating the average score in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Strongly agree / always / very positive / very decent / very good / very useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Score 4 Agree / good/positive/appropriate/easy/feasible/useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Score 3 Doubtful/sometimes/neutral/fairly agree/good enough/fairly appropriate/fairly easy/fairly interesting/fairly appropriate/fairly useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Score 2 Disagree / rarely / negative / less agree / less good / less suitable / less interesting / less understand / less worthy / less useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Score 1 Strongly disagree / very unfavorable / very unsuitable / very unattractive / very unworthy / very less useful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Sugiyono in Rahmat et al., 2021)

Based on Table 1, the questionnaire assessment is in the range with the highest score 5 which describes strongly agree / always / very positive / very decent / very good / very useful and the lowest score 1 which describes Strongly disagree / very unfavorable / very unsuitable / very unattractive / very unworthy / very less useful.

Table 2. Eligibility Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage Score (%)</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P &gt; 81%$</td>
<td>Very Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$61% &lt; P \leq 80%$</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$41% &lt; P \leq 60%$</td>
<td>Quite Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20% &lt; P \leq 40%$</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P \leq 20%$</td>
<td>Very Less Eligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Rahmat et al., 2021)

Table 2 shows the decision eligibility criteria with the highest interpretation being very eligible if get eligibility percentage score more than 81% and the lowest is very less eligible if get eligibility percentage score less than 20%.

To analyze the effectiveness of the interactive mathematics multimedia developed using the percentage calculation and the Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM) set by the school, namely by obtaining a score of 75. The guidelines for the effectiveness criteria are as in Table 3.
Table 3. Effectiveness Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Percentage (%)</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85% – 100%</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65% – 84%</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55% – 64%</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35% – 54%</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0% – 34%</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Nuraini et al., 2020)

Table 3 shows the decision effectiveness criteria with the highest interpretation being very high if get effectiveness percentage score between 85% and 100% and the lowest is very low if get effectiveness percentage score between 0% and 34%.

By checking whether there was an increase in students' HOTS, we used a one-group pretest-posttest research design by conducting a t-test. Prerequisite tests before performing the t-test are the normality test using the Shapiro-Wilk test with a significant level $> 0.05$, then the data is normally distributed, the homogeneity test using the Levene test with a significant level $> 0.05$, then the data is homogeneous, hypothesis testing using the T-test with a significant level of $< 0.05$, there was an increase in students' HOTS.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The following is an explanation of the stages of development and research that have been carried out. At the analysis stage problems and needs in learning were found which are described in Table 4 as follows.

Table 4. Analysis of Problems and Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Problems and Needs</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum</td>
<td>The curriculum used refers to the 2013 curriculum (K-13) with basic competencies of 3.6 and 4.6 for material similarity and congruence.</td>
<td>Develop learning media products that contain material based on the 2013 Curriculum (K-13).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Process Activities</td>
<td>During the learning process, students can be conditioned but not all actively participate in learning activities but do not make noise that</td>
<td>Develop learning media products that can make students active in participating in the learning process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Table 4, the first column shows what topics will be analyzed regarding problems and needs, the results of which are presented in column two and solutions are provided in column three.

In addition, the results of daily tests on the material taught before the research was carried out were also found, namely the coordinate system material with KKM 75 for the acceleration class in mathematics, which is presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Student Learning Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Students</th>
<th>High Ability</th>
<th>Medium ability</th>
<th>Low Ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 is a grouping based on student learning outcomes, namely, there are 5 students with high abilities, 10 students with medium abilities and 7 students with low abilities.

At the analysis stage, it is known that the mathematics learning competencies used...
in MTsN 5 Nganjuk are by Curriculum-13 (K-13). This is in line with research conducted by (Rahman, 2018). In addition, it was also found that students' learning outcomes and HOTS abilities were still low, which was indicated by the number of students' test scores that were still below the KKM. This was also found by Rangkuti et al. (2021) who stated that students' HOTS abilities were still low at the define stage using the 4D development model. In addition, based on interviews with mathematics teachers, students seem bored if the learning media is packaged in the form of learning videos that can only be watched. This is not in line with the research conducted by Sari & Rusmana (2021) who developed a product in the form of a learning video. This means that students at MTsN 5 Nganjuk do not require learning media in the form of learning videos.

At the design stage, based on the analysis, the researcher compiled a map of the need for multimedia teaching materials by core competencies, basic competencies, indicators of competency achievement and learning objectives, made media manuals, and designed flowcharts and storyboards, the designs in Figure 1.

![Interactive Mathematics Multimedia Flowchart](image)

Figure 1. Interactive Mathematics Multimedia Flowchart

From Figure 1 it can be seen that in the development of this application, there are 7 menus, namely the instruction menu, competence, material, video, library, evaluation, and profile. While the content of the material there are 4, namely starting from content 1 (observing) meaning students are asked to observe the images presented,
content 2 (asking) meaning students are asked to choose questions that have been provided related to the material presented, content 3 (trying) meaning students are asked to trying to determine the classification of image forms following those instructed in multimedia, content 4 (reasoning) means that students are asked to analyze and find concepts from the material presented. The conclusion (communicating) means that students are asked to agree or not regarding the conclusions presented by multimedia.

In the media, there are 3 HOTS type practice questions (analyze, evaluate, create) and there are 10 evaluation questions where the last 3 questions are HOTS type. In this multimedia, it provides 3 videos explaining problem-solving related to similarity and congruence material, namely video 1 contains an explanation of the completion of practice questions on the application of similarity in daily life, video 2 contains an explanation of the completion of congruence exercises, and video 3 containing an explanation of the completion of the exercise on similarity triangles. However, the video was taken from YouTube because the researchers only focused on multimedia development, so the content was taken from several sources. In selecting the video, the researcher chose a video that had a short and clear explanation and was supported by a large number of viewers of the video and paid attention to suggestions and input from supervisors and experts.

After making a flowchart design, a storyboard design from interactive mathematical multimedia will be developed. The following is the explanation in Table 6.

Table 6. Interactive Math Multimedia Storyboard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial View</th>
<th>Main View</th>
<th>Menu Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hint Page</th>
<th>Competency Page</th>
<th>Learning Materials Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After making a flowchart design, a storyboard design from interactive mathematical multimedia will be developed. The following is the explanation in Table 6.
In Table 6 there is an initial display that provides information on the title of the learning material and its identity. The main view is the page to start by pressing the "Start" button to go to the menu view which provides information related to multimedia content. The hint page provides instructional information about the icons in the multimedia. The competency page contains information related to KI/KD, IPK and learning objectives. The learning material page contains information related to the menu of material to be studied, namely similarity and congruence. The contents page contains similarity and congruence material based on the steps of a scientific approach starting from observing, asking, trying, reasoning and communicating. The learning video page contains additional videos related to learning material. Meanwhile, the evaluation page contains 10 practice questions where the last 3 questions are HOTS questions and information on the score that will be obtained.

At the design stage, researchers compiled a map of multimedia teaching material needs, designed a multimedia display design based on flowchart and storyboard designs, and made a media manual. This is in line with (Hidayatullah et al., 2020) who also made flowcharts and storyboards at an early stage using the Tessmer development model. In multimedia, there are 10 pages consisting of the initial display, main page, menus, instructions, competencies, materials, videos, evaluations, libraries, and profiles. This is different from the page compiled by (Sa’adah et al., 2021) which makes 9 pages consisting of a compiler page, an about page, a destination KI/KD page, material pages, sample questions, quiz summaries, references, and exit pages. In addition, there are 7 menus, namely instructions, competencies, materials, videos, libraries, evaluations, and profile menus. This is different from the product developed by (Fitriyani et al., 2020) which makes 5 menus consisting of a home menu, guides, materials, about us, and a character gallery.

At the development stage, the researcher begins to make the appropriate product
based on the flowchart and storyboard that has been designed previously. Table 7 displays the products developed at the development stage.

Table 7. Developed Product Display

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial View</th>
<th>Main View</th>
<th>Main Menu View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Initial View" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Main View" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Main Menu View" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hint Page</th>
<th>KI/KD, IPK, and Learning Objectives Page</th>
<th>Question Discussion Video page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Hint Page" /></td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="KI/KD, IPK, and Learning Objectives Page" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Question Discussion Video page" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library Page</th>
<th>Profile Page</th>
<th>Evaluation Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Library Page" /></td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Profile Page" /></td>
<td><img src="image9.png" alt="Evaluation Page" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pretest-posttest questions in question are the types of HOTS questions to find out whether using the developed multimedia product can increase students' HOTS or not. Table 8 shows the appropriate forms of pretest-posttest questions.

Table 8. Pretest-Posttest Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
<th>Cognitive Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nara berdiri di samping sebuah pohon dengan jarak 300 cm. Sebuah pohon tersebut memiliki tinggi 620 cm. Jika bayangan Nara dan pohon berhimpit seperti gambar berikut:</td>
<td>Pak Andi ingin mengganti tiang bendera yang ada di lapangan sekolah. Sebelum membeli tiang yang baru Pak Andi harus menghitung tinggi tiang bendera tersebut terlebih dahulu. Oleh karena itu, ketika Anton melewati lapangan Pak Andi memanggil sambil berkata “Anton berhentilah di tempat itu”. Bayangan tiang bendera adalah 4 kali</td>
<td>C4 (Analyze)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
 adalah 155 cm? bayangan Anton. Jika tinggi Anton adalah 175 cm maka berapakah tinggi tiang bendera tersebut?

2 Pada suatu hari Livia diberikan sebuah soal oleh gurunya dan meminta Livia untuk segera menyelesaikan soal tersebut. Berikut adalah bentuk soal yang diberikan oleh gurunya Livia:

“Diketahui trapesium PQRS dan TUVW adalah kongruen, panjang PS = 12 cm, PQ = 18 cm, dan VW = 9 cm seperti gambar berikut:

Jika yang ditanyakan adalah panjang TW. “

Kemudian Livia menyelesaikan soal tersebut seperti di bawah ini:

Penyelesaian Livia:

\[
\begin{align*}
PS &= TU = 12 \text{ cm} \\
PQ &= UV = 18 \text{ cm} \\
SR &= WV = 9 \text{ cm}
\end{align*}
\]

maka,

\[
\begin{align*}
TU &= TA + AU \\
TA &= TU - AU \\
TA &= 12 - 9 \\
TA &= 3 \text{ cm}
\end{align*}
\]

Sedemikian sehingga,

\[
\begin{align*}
TW &= \sqrt{(WY)^2 + (TA)^2} \\
TW &= \sqrt{18^2 + 3^2} \\
TW &= \sqrt{324 + 9} \\
TW &= \sqrt{333} \\
TW &= \sqrt{9 \times 37} \\
TW &= 3\sqrt{37} \text{ cm}
\end{align*}
\]

Jadi, panjang TW adalah
Apakah Livia menjawab soal yang diberikan gurunya dengan benar? Jika tidak, periksalah kemudian uraikanlah jawabannya!

3 Monas adalah ikon dari kota Jakarta. Diketahui bahwa tinggi monas adalah 132 m. Pada pukul 15.00 panjang bayangan monas adalah 66 m. Jika Dika ingin membuat sebuah miniatur monas dengan rata-rata tingginya antara 85 cm sampai 210 cm, berapakah kemungkinan panjang bayangan miniatur tersebut?

Di dalam kelas, seorang guru berkata kepada para siswanya "anak-anak perhatikan papan ini! papan ini memiliki ukuran 120 cm × 240 cm, coba kalian membuat bentuk yang sebangun dengan papan ini." Jika Aruna adalah salah satu siswa di dalam kelas tersebut, maka ukuran berapakah yang bisa dibuat oleh Aruna sehingga bentuk yang dibuatnya sebangun dengan ukuran papan tersebut tetapi ukuran panjangnya antara 10 cm sampai dengan 50 cm?

After the multimedia products and HOTS questions were developed, expert tests were conducted. Here are Figure 2 the expert test results.

Based on Figure 2 the results of the feasibility percentage from the material validation expert obtained a feasibility percentage of 80% based on Table 2 entered into the "Eligible" interpretation. So that the material presented in the multimedia is declared feasible to be tested and there are no comments and suggestions from the validator, so it can be directly used for testing.
Media validation experts get a percentage of 92.5% eligibility based on Table 2 which is included in the "Very Eligible" interpretation. After the multimedia has been validated and declared very feasible to be tested, further revisions are made based on comments and suggestions following the direction of the media expert validator. Comments and suggestions are to provide animations or other things in the blanks, provide a back button in certain sections when carrying out missions so that students can pause for a moment, the video icon can be displayed in the main menu, and fix it in the reset grades section. The results of expert validation on HOTS questions get a feasibility percentage of 77.14% based on Table 2 into the "Worthy" interpretation. After the HOTS questions have been validated and declared feasible to be tested, then they are revised first based on comments and suggestions the directions from the expert validators on the HOTS questions. Suggestions and criticisms are to divide the score for each stage of completion, showing the wrong part at the level of evaluation questions in the answer key, for making the answer key the level is given a minimum and maximum calculation., improve the form of the question "Jika bayangan tiang bendera dan Anton adalah 332 cm dan 83 cm sedangkan tinggi tiang bendera lima kali tinggi Anton tetapi kurang 60 cm, maka berapakah tinggi tiang bendera tersebut?", and gives a hint that the shapes $\Delta ABC$ and $\Delta CED$ are congruent right triangles in the Posttest questions.

At the development stage, the researcher began to create interactive mathematics multimedia containing material on equality and suitability, pretest-posttest questions, and assessment sheets. While the product development carried out by (Hidayatullah et al., 2020) was carried out in the preliminary stage with the Tessmer development model after making a paper-based first, while (Fitriyani et al., 2020) carried out product development on product design using the Borg & Gall model.

Before carrying out the implementation stage, the developed multimedia product has been validated by media experts and material experts. This is the same as what was done by (Hidayatullah et al., 2020) where the validator consists of media experts and material experts at the expert review stage with the Tessmer development model. Meanwhile, (Sari & Rusmana, 2021) validators consist of media experts, material experts, and linguists.

In addition to validating the product developed, the researcher also validated the HOTS pretest-posttest questions that were developed for HOTS experts. This was also
done by (Rangkuti et al., 2021) at the development stage with a 4-D development model.

At the implementation stage, after getting the validation results from the expert test, a limited test was carried out consisting of 1 teacher response and 6 student responses to the limited test, and 16 student responses to the group test. The results of the percentage of the feasibility of interactive mathematics multimedia developed are presented in Figure 3.
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**Figure 3. Results of the Percentage of Feasibility Responses**

Based on the results of the student response questionnaire in a limited test consisting of 6 students to determine the feasibility of the developed multimedia, based on Table 2 88.78% fall into the "Very Eligible" category. This means that this multimedia can be used as a medium of learning in the classroom. The results of the criticism and suggestions on the limited trial are improvements to the video tool because it cannot be paused, giving scores, and providing practice questions.

The results of the teacher's questionnaire responses show the interactive mathematics developed got a percentage of 81.9% with the "Very Eligible" category, which means that interactive multimedia mathematics can be used as a medium of learning in schools. However, there are comments and suggestions from the results of the teacher's response questionnaire, namely adding voice actors so that the multimedia is clearer, more interesting, and not monotonous and the need for setting the resulting values so that the children/students are sporty in the process (the scores can be sent directly to the teacher after being done) so that there is the difference between students who finished in 1 time and who finished after working several times.

The group test was conducted with 16 students of class VIII-J which is an accelerated class. Based on the results of the student response questionnaire in the group test, it was found that the feasibility of the multimedia developed was 86.70% included
in the "Very Worthy" category. This means that this multimedia can be used as a medium of learning in the classroom. The results of the comments and suggestions on the group tryout are that there are not many HOTS materials and questions.

At this implementation stage, the researcher gave a questionnaire to find out the results of the responses to the developed multimedia which consisted of 1 teacher response and student responses (limited test students consisted of 6 students and group test students consisted of 16 students). This is also done (Agustyarini & Jailani, 2015) at the implementation stage using 3 modified development models, namely Borg & Gall, Dick & Carey, and Plomp. Meanwhile (Fitriyani et al., 2020; Hidayatullah et al., 2020; Rangkuti et al., 2021) only received responses from students. Meanwhile (Sa’adah et al., 2021) did not get the response results because they did not do a test on students.

At this evaluation stage, the researcher gave 10 evaluation questions contained in the developed interactive mathematics multimedia. The following are the results of the evaluation during the trial:

Based on the evaluation results in the limited trial, if you follow the KKM 75 by the KKM set at the school, then all subjects in the limited trial are complete. The percentage of effectiveness is 100%. The percentage of the 100% effectiveness level based on Table 3 is at the "Very High" interpretation level so that the interactive mathematics multimedia developed is effective and can be used as a learning medium in the classroom. 5 of 6 students in the limited trial answered incorrectly on the HOTS questions which were located in the last 3 digits, namely numbers 8, 9, and 10. This means that even though class VIII-J is an accelerated class, they need to be given a lot of practice on HOTS-type questions.

Based on the evaluation results in the group trial, if you follow the KKM 75 set at the school, then 12 students complete it while the other 4 students do not complete it. In the group test, only 1 student was able to get 100, other students still answered incorrectly, moreover almost all students answered incorrectly on the last 3 questions which were HOTS-type questions. The percentage of effectiveness is 75%. The percentage level of effectiveness of 75% based on Table 3 is at the level of interpretation of "High" so that the interactive mathematics developed is effective and can be used as a medium of learning in the classroom.

At the evaluation stage, researchers analyzed the results of the evaluation given
to students in the form of 10 multiple-choice questions presented in interactive mathematics multimedia. This is in line with what was done by Rahman (2018) but in the form of a description test. Meanwhile, Sari & Rusmana (2021) did not carry out the evaluation stage due to the Covid-19 condition. Calculation of students' HOTS results using t-test. This is different from the calculation carried out by Rangkuti et al. (2021) which uses a percentage.

**Improvement of Acceleration Students’ HOTS by Using the Media**

At the normality test based on the results of calculations using SPSS 26.0 in Table 9 and 10. In Table 9 it is known that the pretest and posttest consisted of 16 students. The minimum score obtained from the pretest is 6 while the maximum value obtained is 67. For the posttest, the minimum score obtained is 30 and the maximum is 73,5. The minimum and maximum values obtained between the pretest and posttest are different so that the average (mean) obtained is also different where the average pretest result is 29,3125 while the average posttest result is 48,9687.

**Table 9. Descriptive Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pretest</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6,00</td>
<td>67,00</td>
<td>29,3125</td>
<td>17,01654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posttest</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30,00</td>
<td>73,50</td>
<td>48,9687</td>
<td>15,41046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid N (listwise)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 10. Normality Test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kelas</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hasil</td>
<td>1,00</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,00</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>.098</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the decision that the data is normally distributed if the significant level is > 0,05. It can be seen in the results of the Shapiro-Wilk normality test that there are differences in the results of the pretest and posttest normality tests for pretest data (Class 1,00). 0,00) the significance level is 0,098, where 0,098 > 0,05 which means that the posttest data is also normally distributed. Thus, we can continue to perform the t-test because the condition for performing the t-test is that the data must be normally distributed.

The homogeneity test is presented in Table 11.
Table 11. Homogeneity Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretest-Posttest</th>
<th>Levene Statistic</th>
<th>df1</th>
<th>df2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Based on Mean</td>
<td>.077</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on Median</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on the Median and with adjusted df</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29,336</td>
<td>.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Based on trimmed mean</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>.802</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the results of the homogeneity test using Levene's test as Table 11, it can be seen that the mean is at a significant level of 0.783, where 0.783 > 0.05 which means that based on decision making if Based on the mean > 0.05 the data is homogeneous, so the results of the pretest-posttest data in this study are homogeneous. This means that the data obtained have the same variance.

Setelah mendapatkan hasil uji normalitas dan homogenitas, maka dilakukan uji-t yang hasilnya disajikan dalam Tabel 12 berikut.

After obtaining the normality and homogeneity test results, the t test was carried out, the results of which are presented in Table 12 below.

Table 12. Paired Sample Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pair</th>
<th>Pretest - Posttest</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval of the Difference</th>
<th>Sig. (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19,65 625</td>
<td>15,5399</td>
<td>3,884</td>
<td>-27,93691 -11,37559</td>
<td>- 15 .000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the t-test using the Paired Samples Test in Table 12, show a significance level of 0.000 was obtained where 0.000 < 0.05, which means that there was an increase in the HOTS of class VIII-J students after using the developed interactive mathematics multimedia. This is in line with the results found (Rangkuti et al., 2021) that students experienced an increase in HOTS after using Autograph media on similarity and alignment material. Although there was an increase in students' HOTS, the results of the pretest-posttest showed that even though the class was an accelerated class, they still had difficulties in solving math problems related to HOTS-type questions. This is in line with the opinion of Khuzaimah & Leonard (2015) which revealed that accelerated program students also have some difficulties in learning
mathematics.

CONCLUSION

To the research objectives, the results of the material expert's validation obtained the interpretation of "Enough", the media expert obtained the interpretation of "Very Appropriate", and HOTS experts obtained the interpretation of "Fair". The results of the teacher's response, student responses to limited tests, and group tests obtained the interpretation of "Very Eligible" and obtained a significant level of 0.000, where 0.000 < 0.05, which means that there is an increase in the HOTS of grade VIII-J students after using the developed interactive mathematics multimedia. Based on the results obtained, it can be concluded that the developed interactive mathematics multimedia can be used as a learning medium for students. Suggestions from researchers are expected that further researchers can add material presentation and make more HOTS-type questions, present videos made by themselves, and use the help of other applications or websites that can help students to deposit the values obtained directly. In addition, it is also recommended to conduct a field test and try it in the regular class to find out the results of the feasibility in the regular class.
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