Exploring gender differences in the vulnerability towards drug abuse among adolescents in Malaysia
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Abstract: Protective and risk factors are important in reducing vulnerability towards drug abuse among adolescents. This study, therefore, aims to explore gender differences in such vulnerability in high-risk areas identified by the National Anti-Drugs Agency Malaysia. The study employs a survey design, with questionnaires distributed to 213 adolescents who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The researchers developed the questionnaire and comprised five parts: the demographic profile, interpersonal conflict, negative emotions, social support, and mental health. The data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The results show no significant gender differences in interpersonal conflict, negative emotions, and mental health. However, there was a significant difference in social support based on gender, with females showing a higher mean than males. These findings imply the importance of identifying the relevant factors to prevent early involvement in drug abuse.
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Introduction

Drug addiction has been categorized as substance abuse in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* 5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Drug abuse is a problem that worries teachers, parents, and the community and is also considered a social problem (Jordan & Andersen, 2017). Statistics for drug addiction in Malaysia show an increasing trend year on year, with the number of amphetamine addicts recording the highest total, with an increase from 12,089 in 2017 to 12,520 in 2018. The number of drug addicts in Malaysia in 2018 was also higher among males, at 125,320 (95.80%); amongst those of Malay ethnicity, at 99,535 (99.34%); and among adults aged 19-39 years, at 69.30%. A report produced by the National Anti-Drugs Agency (N.A.D.A., 2018) shows that a total of 25,922 drug addicts were reported in 2017, with the average number of new drug addicts 18,440, while the number of repeat addicts or relapsed addicts was 7,482. N.A.D.A. (2018) also reported that the youngest client receiving treatment and rehabilitation in 2016 was just seven years old.

These statistics create concern among the community, as the effects of drug addiction cause various problematic behaviors and lead to crimes such as burglary, robbery, snatching, stealing, and gangsterism. Tomlinson, Brown, and Hoaken’s (2016) study shows that aggressive behavior was influenced by drug abuse, particularly among adolescents using heroin and cocaine. A study conducted by Ólafsdóttir, Hrafnshóðdóttir, and Orjasniemi (2018) compared depression, anxiety, and stress between drug addicts and the normal population in Iceland. Their findings show that 36% or more of the drug addicts had average, serious, or very serious depression, anxiety, and/or stress. Depression, anxiety, and stress were also negatively correlated with quality of life. Moreover, drug use was also found to be associated with violence and crime. Håkansson and Jesionowska (2018) study found that there was a significant difference between perpetrators of violent crime with a lifetime history of substance use and all other criminal justice clients with substance use problems.

Therefore, the problem of drug abuse needs to be addressed by intensifying drug awareness and prevention programs in schools and communities. In Malaysia, individuals who are involved in drug addiction can be detained if their urine test is positive and can be sent to drug rehabilitation centers known as Cure & Care Rehabilitation Centers (CCRC), which are under the purview of the National Anti-Drug Agency, Ministry of Home Affairs Malaysia. There are 22 such centers located in each state of Malaysia, which provide treatment, rehabilitation, and aftercare services to drug addicts to be free of drugs and lead their lives as normal individuals. Treatment and rehabilitation services are conducted in three settings: institutional-based treatment, community-based treatment and aftercare programs (N.A.D.A., 2018). However, it is not an easy task, as the relapse rate is very high, as evidenced from the 7,921 relapses in 2016 and 7,428 in 2017 (N.A.D.A, 2018).

There is no single factor that can identify whether an individual is susceptible to drug addiction; instead, studies show the role of various risk and protective factors influencing the predisposition for such behavior (N.I.D.A., 2014; Volkow, 2014). Studies identifying risk factors are very important, as they can provide information
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to parents and teachers regarding early detection if there are any changes in behavior that show a predisposition of adolescents to become addicted to drugs. These risk factors include physical, emotional, spiritual, and personality dysfunctions.

Previous studies have documented many types of risk factors. Among these are peer influence, stress, developmental changes, and negative role models (Roblyer, Betancourth & Grzywacz, 2015; Smith, Vasquez, Emelogu, Hayes, Engebretson, & Nash, 2020; Tam, Kwok, Lo, Lam & Lee, 2018). Besides, discrimination, ethnic identity, access to drugs, and misperceptions about the risk of drugs (Verissimo, Gee, Ford, & Iguchi, 2014; Zapolski, Clifton, Banks, Hershberger, & Aalsma, 2017) have also been identified as risk factors. Changes in behavior and attitude (Volkow, 2014); exhibiting violent behavior (Tomlinson et al., 2016); and a decline in academic achievement, dropouts and school absence (Gubbels et al., 2019) also been reported to be related to drug addiction. N.I.D.A. (2014) also identifies other risk factors, such as aggressive behavior during childhood, lack of monitoring by parents, low social skills, experimenting with drugs, availability of drugs in schools, and poverty. On other hands, among the protective factors, are good self-control, parental support and monitoring, positive relationships, good academic achievement, anti-drug policies in schools, and neighborhood supervision and monitoring.

Based on the report by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (2014), equal numbers of boys and girls ages 12–17 used illegal drugs. On the other hand, many studies have reported a significant difference in drug abuse behavior according to gender. For instance, Anderberg and Dahlberg (2018) and Johnston, O'Malley, Miech, Bachman and Schulenberg (2015) found that substance abuse was higher among girls in early adolescence, while boys showed higher rates of abuse during late adolescence. Among the factors influencing drug use are peer and other social influences (Zapolski et al., 2019). The reasons for drug use among adolescent boys and girls can be to cope with mood changes, low self-esteem, or discomfort in social settings (Schwinn, Schinke, Hopkins, & Thom, 2016).

Support for gender differences in drug addiction can be found in several previous studies. Becker, Perry, and Westenbroek (2012) and Perry, Westenbroek, and Becker (2013) state that biological sex differences can affect addiction-like behavior differently for males and females. This is consistent with other studies, which have found that within the general population, individuals differ in their risk of addiction due to a range of factors, including genetic and personality traits (Heinrich et al., 2016); experience of trauma or abuse (Kachadourian, Pilver, & Potenza, 2014; Lieberman, Armeli, Scott, Kranzler, Tennen & Covault, 2016; Mandavia, Robinson, Bradley, Ressler & Powers, 2016); and sociocultural influences (Macleod, Hickman, Jones, Copeland, McKenzie, De Angelis, Kimber, & Robertson, 2013).

Differences in negative emotions or affect can be observed among males and females. Women reported greater effects of drugs on mood and anxiety, as well as a greater stress response, compared to men (Becker, McClellan & Reed, 2017). However, males exhibit greater withdrawal symptoms when quitting alcohol consumption than females (Becker et al., 2017). Women also might experience greater sensitivity to stress or the cues associated with a drug, and
relapse can be triggered by these variables (Khazaee-Pool, Tahereh Pashaei, Roghayeh Nouri, Parvaneh Taymoori & Koen Ponnet, 2019). Another risk factor is that a negative family environment can also predict substance use behavior. Becker et al. (2017) found that the environment and positive or negative experiences can also affect the brain and influence vulnerability to addiction differently in males and females. This is consistent with Macleod et al.'s (2013) study, which showed that family violence in childhood, especially directed against the child, was associated with increased risk of drug use for both males and females. In Wilson and Widom's (2009) study, abused and neglected girls were more likely than boys from comparable backgrounds to abuse illegal drugs as adults.

In terms of social support, men tended to show an inverse relationship between perceived social support and substance use frequency in socially stigmatized populations (Rapier, McKeman & Stauffer, 2019). In addition, women who were addicted experienced greater stigma than men; this means that with less social support, women faced more isolation and a greater risk of relapse (Becker, McClellan, & Reed, 2016). Findings from Bobzean, DeNobrega, and Perrotti, (2014) indicate that women tended to progress more rapidly than men from an initial drug experience to addiction, with regard to those who were vulnerable to addiction. Furthermore, women exhibited greater unpleasant symptoms than men during attempts to quit drug use (Becker et al., 2017; Becker & Koob, 2016).

A study in Malaysia by Razali and Kliewer (2015) found that one in six adolescents and one in three young adults reported lifetime recreational and hard drug use, with greater use reported by males across all drug categories. They also reported that risk factors for lifetime recreational and hard drug use included early initiation of antisocial behavior, antisocial behavior amongst peers, and peer reinforcement of engaging in antisocial behavior; protective factors included religious practices and opportunities for prosocial school involvement. (Rodzlan et al., 2019), on the other hand, found that the risk factors for illicit drug use among Malaysian male adolescents were associated with younger age, rural school area, marital status of parents, being a current smoker, sexual experience, truancy, being involved in physical fights, and lack of peer support.

Based on the findings of previous studies, it is therefore crucial to explore vulnerability towards drug addiction by examining the risk and protective factors involved in drug abuse behavior. Examining the differences in these factors in males and females will also enable us to ascertain the treatment and rehabilitation needs suitable for them. Therefore, the objectives of the study are to examine gender differences in interpersonal conflict, negative emotions, social support, and mental health related to drug addiction among adolescents in Malaysia. Four hypotheses were formulated: (1) There is a significant difference in interpersonal conflict based on gender; (2) There is a significant difference in negative emotions based on gender; (3) There is a significant difference in social support based on gender; and (4) There is a significant difference in mental health based on gender.

Method

The study employed a survey research design by distributing questionnaires to adolescents who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. A total of 213
adolescents participated by answering a set of questionnaires developed by the researchers. The respondents were chosen randomly in four hotspot areas identified by the National Anti-Drugs Agency Malaysia, namely Sabak Bernam, Dungun, Johor Bahru, and Kuala Kedah.

The questionnaire used in the study comprised five parts: demographic profile, interpersonal conflict, negative emotions, social support, and mental health. Vulnerability to drug addiction is defined as the tendency to be involved in such addiction based on risk and protective factors. The risk factors were interpersonal conflict, which consisted of nine items, and negative emotions, which also comprised nine items. The protective factors were measured using social support (13 items) and mental health (12 items). The responses were measured on a four-point Likert scale, indicating 4=Strongly Agree, 3=Agree, 2=Disagree, and 1=Strongly Disagree. A pilot study was conducted with 50 respondents and reliability analysis showed that all four dimensions had satisfactory reliability, with a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.616 for interpersonal conflict, 0.944 for negative emotions, 0.899 for social support, and 0.902 for mental health. Data from the actual study were then analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results

Demographic Profile

The respondents’ demographic profile is presented in Table 1. A total of 111 respondents (52.1%) were male and 102 (47.9%) were female. In terms of age range, 20 respondents (9.6%) were aged 13; 33 (15.9%) aged 14; 20 (9.6%) aged 15; 74 (35.6%) aged 16; 56 (26.9%) aged 17; and five respondents (2.4%) aged 18. A total of 69 respondents (32.4%) came from Sabak Bernam, 60 (28.2%) from Dungun, 68 (31.9%) from Johor Bahru and 16 (7.5%) were from Kuala Kedah. A total of 16 respondents (7.5%) had family members who were involved in drug addiction, while the other 197 respondents (92.5%) did not have any family members involved in drugs.

Differences in interpersonal conflict, negative emotions, social support, and mental health based on adolescents’ gender

The hypotheses on the differences in risk and protective factors based on gender were analyzed using a t test. Risk factors were measured using interpersonal conflict and negative emotions, while protective factors were measured using social support and mental health.

H1: There is a significant difference in interpersonal conflict based on gender.

The results in Table 2 show that there was no significant difference in interpersonal conflict based on gender, with t (211) = -.565, p > .05. There was little difference in the mean scores, with males obtaining a mean score of 14.14 and females one of 14.59. Based on this result, hypothesis 1 was rejected.

H2: There is a significant difference in negative emotions based on gender.

The results also show that there was no significant difference in negative emotions based on gender, with t(211) = -.565, p > .05. The mean score for male respondents was 15.18 and 16.52 for females. This result also means that hypothesis 2 was rejected.
Table 1
Respondents’ Demographic Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demography</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 yrs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 yrs</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 yrs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 yrs</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 yrs</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 yrs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabak Bernam</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dungun</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johor Bahru</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuala Kedah</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family members involved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with drug addiction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Results of the Differences in Interpersonal Conflict, Negative Emotions, Social Support and Mental Health Based on Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>-.556</td>
<td>.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative emotions</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>-1.517</td>
<td>.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>34.83</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>-2.046</td>
<td>.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>37.13</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>33.93</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>-.898</td>
<td>.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>34.75</td>
<td>7.800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H3: There is a significant difference in social support based on gender.

The findings show that there was a significant difference in social support based on gender, with t (211) = -2.046, p<.05. Female respondents showed a higher value of 37.13 for social support, compared to the 34.83 of male respondents. Therefore, hypothesis 3 was accepted.

H4: There is a significant difference in mental health based on gender.

The results show no significant difference in mental health based on gender, with t (211) =
-898, p>.05. The mean score for male respondents was 33.93 and 34.75 for females. Therefore, hypothesis 4 was rejected.

**Discussion**

The dimension of interpersonal conflict in this study referred to the interaction of adolescents with their family, which is similar to the family environment construct in several other studies. The findings of this study were inconsistent with previous ones, such as Becker et al. (2017), who found that the environment and positive or negative experiences could also affect the brain and influence vulnerability to addiction differently in males and females. Macleod et al. (2013) and Wilson and Widom, (2009) also found that family violence in childhood and abuse were risk factors that made adolescents vulnerable to drug abuse. The inconsistent findings can be explained by the fact that both male and female respondents in this study were chosen from areas identified by the National Anti-Drugs Agency Malaysia as high risk for drug abuse, and as such many characteristics of the environment were similar for both genders, such as high-density populations and areas known to have easy access to drugs.

There was also no significant difference in negative emotions according to gender in this study. This contradicts findings from Becker et al. (2017), who reported that females showed greater effects of drugs on mood and anxiety, as well as a greater stress response, compared to males. As this study focused on adolescents, it can be said that this finding of no significant difference can be attributed to the fact that both male and female adolescents were at the same physical, emotional, and psychological stages of development, thus experiencing similar vulnerability towards drug use. This is supported by several studies that indicate that both genders exhibit similar addiction-like behavior (Becker et al., 2012; Carroll, Collins, Kohl, Johnson, & Dougen, 2016).

The results of this study are also consistent with those of Bliton, Wolford-Clevenger, Zapor, Elmquist, Brem, Shorey, and Stuart (2016), who found no significant gender difference in emotional dysregulation. This is due to the fact that females behave similarly to males when they experience weakened or uncomfortable emotions; that is, both behave aggressively to regulate their emotions in a maladaptive way. Both males and females experience emotional dysregulation in their difficulty to control their impulses; lack awareness of emotional regulation strategies; reject emotional responses; and lack emotional clarity when faced with unfavorable emotions.

There was also no significant difference in mental health based on gender. This is also inconsistent with the meta-analytical study conducted by Silva et al. (2020) on 43 studies involving adolescents between the ages of 10 and 19 years old. The results of their study indicated that mental health affected girls more, which can be attributed to permanent concerns with their physical appearance, body dissatisfaction and exposure to sexualization. Although the age group of the respondents in this study was similar, there was no significant difference in mental health between the males and females, indicating that they experienced similar states of such health due to their similar demographic backgrounds.

Finally, the results indicate a significant difference in social support based on gender, with female respondents showing higher social support scores. This result is consistent with the study of Camara, Bacigalupe, and Padilla (2017), who found gender differences in perceived social...
support, with girls perceiving higher levels of support from classmates and close friends than boys. In addition, girls reported significantly more support from close friends than any other source, whereas boys reported significantly less support from classmates. This is supported by Rickwood et al.’s (2005) study, which showed most young people talk to friends or family members as the first step in seeking support. Sulaiman et al. (2013) also concur with this, stating that adolescents still need social support from family and peers, even when they move towards achieving independence in adulthood.

**Conclusion**

This study has shown that there are no significant differences in interpersonal conflict, negative emotions, and mental health between male and female adolescents, but a difference was observed in relation to social support. The consequences of this finding indicate that more social support needs to be given to male adolescents to prevent them from becoming involved in drug abuse. The nonsignificant findings may be due to certain limitations of the study, such as the homogeneity of the characteristics of the respondents, who were chosen from hotspot areas identified by the National Anti-Drugs Malaysia. These areas are known to be densely populated, with easy access to drugs. Moreover, the distribution of the questionnaires was made randomly at schools in identified areas, without the benefit of the researchers explaining the items in the questionnaire for those who did not fully understand the meaning of them.

Adolescence is a challenging phase for individuals, both males and females. They need a supporting environment, such as strong family relationship, positive peer influence and good role models. These can provide them with protective factors to prevent them from becoming involved in risky behavior.

Although treatment and rehabilitation programs for drug addicts are provided through institutional-based treatment, community-based treatment and aftercare programs, these cater for all ages. There is a need for treatment and rehabilitation modules specifically for adolescents, which take into account their physical, emotional, and psychological development, as well as the role of risk and protective factors. In addition, current prevention efforts must be targeted at the individual level, focusing on enhancing overall socio-emotional competency skills such as problem solving, decision making, goal setting, peer refusal, communication, coping, and self-efficacy.

**Acknowledgements**

The researchers would like to express gratitude to the National Anti-Drug Agency Malaysia for funding the research. The project was also approved by the National Anti-Drug Agency Malaysia Steering Committee in terms of the involvement of respondents in hotspot areas (Approval Ref Code: SK-2019-026).

**References**


