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Abstract: This paper aims to analyze the immunity factor of Acehnese loyalty to the ulama. Generally, if the ulama fail to respond to problems that arise in modernity, they are abandoned by society. Modernization is taking place very quickly in Aceh. In Aceh, even though the ulama were unable to respond to modernity, they were never abandoned by the community. The type of modernization that is the focus of the author’s observation is development, politics, and economics which includes banking and trade. Using a qualitative approach, this study finds, that is in Aceh, precisely because the ulama do not respond to modernity, the immunity of loyalty to the ulama can continue. In Aceh, secular-minded society, they give authority to experts in their respective fields. Because the ulama are treated as religious experts, then when they do not respond to other fields such as development, politics, economics and other fields, they become respected. Although only given space in the field of religion, the position of the ulama remains very important in Aceh because religion is a very important part of the life of the Acehnese people.
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A. Introduction

_Ulama ta peutimang ta sayang ngoen ta cinta
Ulama labang naggroe nyang peutrang asoe donya
Ulama bek ta beunci bek ta caci tahina
Ulama wareh Nabi tungkat geutanyoe dum na_

The above Aceh song lyrics are popularized by local Acehnese artist Imum John. The translation is: *The ulama should be the main reference, we must love them. The ulama are the nails for the country, they also illuminate the world. Do not hate ulama and do not despise them. The ulama are the heirs of the Prophet Muhammad who became a stick for all of us.*

Thus, the *ulama* in the general view of the people of Aceh. The *ulama* are the main references. They are loved by the people. The people of Aceh see the *ulama* as the heirs (al-warathat) of the teachings of the Prophet Muhammad. This view is the general assumption of researchers: that the *ulama* are the most charismatic person. Generally, researchers assume that scholars have charisma in all segments of life.¹ In the study of *ulama* and modernity, the assumption of the *ulama* as a charismatic person influences the results of their research. The conclusion is that if the *ulama* are not able to respond to modernity, they will be abandoned by society.²

Modernization is necessary for man as the development of thought, improvement or change of needs, to overcome problems and facilitate the mobilization of life and awareness of diversity. Modernity is an appreciation of wisdom and rationality. In principle, Islam supports modernity. Islam emphasizes to modernize.³

The codification of theology and the jurisprudence school (al-madhāhib al-fiqhiyyah) of past *ulama* is a response to the theological problems and Islamic law that developed in its day. Surely every age has different problems. Thus, should the *ulama* as religious authorities constantly try to do ijtihad to actualize

naṣ (Qur’an and Hadith) to respond to modernity because the issues of the ummah are always new and constantly changing.

However, the attitude of contemporary ulama consider the door of ijtihad has been closed. They claim the human needs of religion as a worship guide and the solution to all human life problems until the end of time have been fulfilled in the codification of classical ijtihad. The ulama have made the religion segmented in theology codification and classical jurisprudence. The codifications were considered standard and should not be disturbed. Consequently, as society continually faces new problems with no solution to the crystallized codifications, religion becomes powerless to address the problems facing communities in modernity. If there are intellectuals who try to solve the problems of contemporary society by reconstructing, criticizing the classical Jurisprudence or directly referring to the texts without following the classical jurisprudence, then they can be claimed by the traditionalists as the heretics of religion.

Theology and jurisprudence which is the essence of religion authoritarian have been claimed as the territory of ulama’s authority. No one is justified to take over the authority except those who have been asked by fanatics as the authority's authority. The ulama in the understanding of Indonesian traditionalist Islam who are claimed to believe and know by rigid the codification of Ash’ariah theology and practice and know with rigid Shafi’i school (madhhab). Ash’ariah theology school and Shafi’i jurisprudence school are bundled and named Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā’ah. Thus, Islam in Indonesia, especially in Aceh, is reduced to Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā’ah.

In Aceh, the only the school received as true teaching in Islam is the Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā’ah. Authority over this flow is left to the ulama. The ulama are those who own and become leaders in traditional Islamic educational institutions called dayah. Dayah is a traditional Islamic higher education institution. Before studying in dayah, the students (santri) generally have learned a small

---


Qur'an (guides reading the Juz 'Amma) in rangkang. Then learn the basic introductory books about fiqh and theology in the meunasah. After studying in meunasah, the students continue to study in balee. After studying in balee, some Acehnese 1) continue their religious education to dayah, 2) some choose to enter government education institutions, and 3) some choose not to continue their education. Of the three parts can be mapped three variant mindsets of thinking of Aceh people.

The first variant is those who become a fanatical group to the ulama. Some of them set up balee and rangkang institutions. These are called teungku. The teungku are an interpreter of the ulama. They established and became balee leaders, became teachers in meunasah, balee and dayah. The second variant is generally a modernist and critical, both continuing education in the field of Islam or non-religious majors. The third variant is the majority that dominates the community in Aceh. They are more inclined to be loyal to scholars without rational considerations. But they are not too fanatical to the ulama.

Aceh is one of the regions in Indonesia that is very appreciative of modernity. The forms of modernity that can be found clearly in Aceh are infrastructure, political and economic development, particularly trade and banking. The crystallization of traditional theological and jurisprudential codifications makes religion incapable of responding to modernity. In the concept of al-Turabi about democratic Islamic jurisprudence, if the ulama fail to respond to modernity, the society should abandon them. But in Aceh, although the ulama fail to respond to modernity, people's loyalty to the ulama is still strong.

This research would like to examine the factor of community loyalty to the ulama's authority in modernity in Aceh. This study used an ethnographic approach by conducting in-depth interviews with resource persons and phenomenological observations. Researchers collect, reduce, process and

---

6To continue education in dayah, hierarchically from the lowest level, one must first complete studies in the rangkang, meunasah, and bale.

7This division is not always consistent. There is a traditionalist who becomes secular; there is a secular becomes modernist; there is a traditionalist becomes secular. I determine this division based on the tendency of each intellectual group whether he has a traditional, secular, or modern-Islamist paradigm.

present data with observations of sociological concepts and theories associated with the subject of discussion.

B. Loyalty Test to the Ulama

In Aceh, the ulama are always involved with factual and pragmatic dynamics such as economics and politics. The ulama are always involved in all matters of society including politics. In the dominance of Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jama'ah during the last three centuries, various social and political dynamics continue to occur in Aceh. The ulama, as the stakeholder religious authorities have never been absent in the constellation. But the unique is, people's loyalty to the ulama never faded. All social change, all forms of modernization that are part of the change of society have never had a significant influence on the immunity of the ulama's authority and the identity of Ahlus-sunnah Waljamaah. In the political struggle for a power struggle, each ulama directly determines the choice and recommends the community to elect one of the candidates for the regional head or legislative member. Such actions by the ulama are a longstanding tradition in Aceh. Nevertheless, if the backed candidate is defeated, the ulama will not lose authority before the people. Even rulers who previously did not have the support of certain ulama still approached them. The phenomenon is evidence of the stance and decisions of the ulama in politics do not make them lose the trust of the community.

For an ever-changing era, with a high purchasing power, the people of Aceh9 faster accept modernity. For all sorts of new things appearing before the community in Aceh, of course, ulama cannot respond to any kind of modernity. In this case, scholars who have a basic religious background in rangkang, balee and meunasah but their higher education is pursued in a general department or modern religious department argue,10 ulama cannot respond to all types of modernity, ulama do not understand modern problems in detail. So that ulama choose to be passive for cases that are not directly related to religion.

The ulama feel that they have no obligation to respond to contemporary problems that are so concrete. Any issue that is not explicitly found in the texts
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and not specifically addressed in the codification of Islamic law they are adhering to, the ulama will not respond. For example, the issue of infrastructure development. Infrastructure development generally leads to depletion of natural resources and the impact of the destruction of natural ecosystems that cause natural disasters. In Aceh, the community is generally domiciled in the foothills, the natural decline has direct impacts with the emergence of floods. Natural damage is so severe in Aceh. The developers who are rich businessmen freely dredge nature such as leveling the hills for their land, draining the sand at the bottom of the river, clearing the forest to pick up the rocks of mountains, sand, and soil.\footnote{11Interview with Mulyadi, M.A., August 10, 2017.} 

The modernists argue that the ulama are unwilling to intervene in modern matters that are not religiously related because they do not understand the negative effects. There are so many modern problems facing communities that are in fact at odds with ethics from a religious perspective. The construction of infrastructure is one of the modern cases that have caused natural destruction. The ulama should issue a fatwa on the environment that is getting damaged due to infrastructure development. But they chose to silence. Besides, the modernist claim, the ulama do not respond to technical matters related to modernity for fear of losing charisma.\footnote{12Interview with Ikhsan Azhar, S.E., August 20, 2017.} 

The Islamic Holy Text strongly advocates preserving the environment.\footnote{13Fazlun M. Khalid and Joanne O’Brien, eds., “Islam and Ecology: World Religions and Ecology,” in Islam and Ecology: World Religions and Ecology (Michigan: Cassell, 1992).} But the ulama did not use it as a basis of consideration and the basis for issuing a fatwa. Because the propositions on ecology are not included in the special discussion of the sect of the schools believed. Thus, it is indicated that the guidelines of ulama are not the Qur’an and Hadith but the books of schools that have been codified by the scholars of the past. But the traditionalist argues, the Qur’an and Hadith should not be interpreted directly without referring to the book of classical scholars.\footnote{14Interview with Tgk. Muhammad Abduh, July 12, 2017.} The scholars of the codifiers of the past madhhab do not address ecological issues specifically because, in their time, ecology has not become a serious issue.

\footnote{11Interview with Mulyadi, M.A., August 10, 2017.}
\footnote{12Interview with Ikhsan Azhar, S.E., August 20, 2017.}
\footnote{14Interview with Tgk. Muhammad Abduh, July 12, 2017.}
So it can be said that the *ulama* only became the authority stakeholder for the schools of jurisprudence and theology in the past. For that reason, it is only natural that they cannot be guided except for static problems in religion such as the law of *taharah*, salat, zakat, fasting, pilgrimage, and some static matter.

Today, people are well aware that the *ulama*’s authority only on static religious matters.\(^{15}\) Society does not want the *ulama* to intervene in matters that are not directly related to religious symbols. Society does not want the *ulama* to be a hegemony as Gramsci sees in the state’s treatment of society.\(^{16}\) The *ulama* understand that if they suppress society, then society will do resistance. And when that happens, then the charism of the *ulama* will be lost. This is greatly feared by the *ulama* because it can make people lose their guidance in religion. Society can be far away from its religion. So that, as disclosed by Sandal and James, happened mutual understanding between the community with religious authority.

In the view of the modernists, the *ulama* only understood the written concept in the classical books they studied. Besides being unconcerned with issues that are not detected by classical Jurisprudence, the *ulama* also do not want to lose their influence. For example, if there is an *ulama* who speaks disturbing the business of a certain party, then the disturbed party will not be willing to entrust his son in *dayah* led by that *ulama*. So, in this case, scholars do not want to look for trouble. Moreover, even if they sound, related to the development of infrastructure, for example, it will not be able to change the ambitions of the developers. Though the *ulama* share their direct impact of the negative effects of infrastructure development that is done without control. The Islamic education center at Samalanga Bireuen is the largest complex of traditional Islamic education in Aceh. Whenever water exceeded over river Batet Ilik, there always been a flood. But the *ulama* there never once mentioned


the problem of the natural disaster. They have never intertwined the floods with the destruction of nature. But if there is a doctrine that is slightly different from that of the *ulama* and their followers who try to spread the teachings, that is where the *ulama* and their followers issued a fatwa to reject the teaching. If necessary, send all *santri* complete with a machete in hand to destroy the teachings that they consider heretical. This shows how the *ulama* are only obliged and responsible for issues directly related to religion.

In Aceh, every individual has their egoism. Each field has a stakeholder authority. Sacrality has its place. The last descendant of the King of Aceh, Muhammad Daud Shah, at his school was often harassed by his friends. The king's son does not have a special place in the public space. The descendants of sultans and *ulee balangs* are even considered a symbol of feudalism that has been overthrown by the people. If in other areas the descendants of Prophet Muhammad (*sayyid, ḥabīb*) descent is so glorified, in Aceh, they are not given special honor. If there is a descendant of Prophet Muhammad who became a *ulama*, then he is respected as the *ulama*. Respected in his field as a steward of religious authority, not because he descended from the Prophet Muhammad. There is no sacredness in Aceh except for its respective territory of authority. So, the term appears: *every Acehnese is a prince*.

Authorities are limited to their respective fields. The *ulama* are respectable in the field of religion, not other fields. The customary area is held by the *ulee balang*, the forestry field is held by the handlers, the formal school education field is held by the teachers and the religious field is held by the *ulama*. For that, the *ulama* must understand the limits of their authority. Public awareness of certain authorities for their respective fields makes them understand that the *ulama* only understands the religious field. "For example, an *ulama* inserts a campaign on the sidelines of lectures and recitation. In response, the public just silent and smile. But society remains with their choice." It is proof that people believe in the *ulama*, in the religious field only.

---

17 Interview with Mulyadi, M.A., August 10, 2017.
20 Interview with Ikhsan Azhar, SE, August 20, 2017.
In Aceh, the recommendation of an ulama to choose a particular person as a candidate for the regional head is a tradition that has existed for a long time.\(^{21}\) If in some other places in Indonesia the scholars are not too brave to recommend a candidate for the regional head, worried about losing appreciation if the candidate that he support loses,\(^ {22}\) But in Aceh, an ulama explicitly recommends a particular candidate. Join a campaign. Usually, each person of ulama supports a different candidate.

The direct involvement of the ulama in practical politics becomes an indication of the charisma of the ulama in Aceh is very high. If a candidate for a political leader supported by ulama is not elected, the other elected candidate still asks for blessings and asks advice from the ulama who did not support him before. Whoever is elected head of the region, although he is not supported by certain ulama, then still the head of the region needs the ulama. Asking for advice is only the mode of the chief, especially of the ulama who do not support him. The main purpose of the head of the region is to get support, or at least not appear resistance from the ulama. To this day, the people of Aceh are very reluctant to the ulama. If the ulama do not appreciate the policy of the regional head, there will be community resistance to the policy of the regional head. And this disrupts the stability of the government.\(^ {23}\)

Although people want to break away from the ulama in professional affairs, not all professional issues are separated practically with religion. Sometimes political, scientific, educational and legal issues can be constructed to be directly related to religion. But in general, the ulama are not given the authority to handle matters outside of religious issues.

According to al-Turabi, the disengagement of the ulama's role from the practical affairs caused religion to be stagnant.\(^ {24}\) Religion is no longer seen as a solution and guidance in human life. Religion becomes only a small space in human life. When people face obstacles in practical life, not seeing religion as

---


\(^{22}\)Interview with Yazid Qulbuddin, November 10, 2017.

\(^{23}\)M. Hasbi Amiruddin, Ulama Dayah Pengawal Agama Masyarakat Aceh (Lhokseumawe: Nadiya Foundation, 2007), 41.

something that can provide a solution. Because the people have tried to interact with religion, which is certainly through the ulama, to solve practical problems, but makes the problem even greater.\textsuperscript{25} Not because religion itself is unable to provide a way out, but because the ulama who have caused religion to lose power in providing solutions to practical life. The ulama are limit religious only in the affairs of ḥalāl and ḥarām. Religion is not turned on as it should be.

The ulama do not want to interfere with issues that do not relate practically to religion. They understand that the community will not obey and can leave them when issuing recommendations that are not solutive or detrimental to the interests of certain parties.\textsuperscript{26} Therefore the ulama chose to be passive.

According to al-Turabi,\textsuperscript{27} the ulama avoided to save themselves. But the avoidance of the ulama certainly not directly interpreted as an attempt to save oneself solely. Avoiding them is an attempt to avoid the perception that religion is not able to respond to practical issues in people's lives. Because the ulama are the actual symbols of religion. If the ulama are abandoned by society by failing to provide solutions in the life of society, then society will practically abandon the ulama and this is a symbol they have left religion.

Religion is the most sensitive segment. Therefore, the blessing of the ulama is needed by the government. Religion is a segment separate from pragmatic affairs such as politics. But with intelligent propaganda, religion can be easily attributed to political affairs so that very often religion becomes the determinant of pragmatic affairs.

The secularity of thinking is not only from the people but also from the ulama. There has been a case of an ulama running for the head of one of the regions in Aceh. The other ulama did not support him. According to them, an ulama should not be the head of a region because if he fails to lead the government, then not only his image as the head of the region damaged but also his image as an ulama. If the image of the ulama is corrupted then it affects the image


\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{26}Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, \textit{Konsep Pendidikan dalam Islam} (Bandung: Mizan, 1988), 35.}

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{27}al-Turabi, \textit{Fiqih Demokratis: Dari Tradisionalisme Kolektif Menuju Modernisme Populis}.}
of religion. This mindset is similar to Nurcholish Madjid’s thought. Madjid suggests separating religious affairs from pragmatic affairs. The reason is that sacred religious affairs are not involved in pragmatic-oriented worldly affairs. Therefore, religion has indeed been agreed upon by the community and ulama as a small segment of human life. Religion deals only with practical worship.

The modernist scholars argue that today the ulama only respond to the problems of spiritual symbolism. For example, when there is a stream that is slightly different from the teachings of dayah then the ulama, the teungku, and the santri react to dissolve their lectures and worship. Even the traditionalists have done extreme acts against the flow that is considered heretical. But they do not care about usury that has been rampant in the midst of society. The ulama only focuses on static affairs in religion and, as al-Turabi says, they are unable to determine the priority scale.

“...When did they ever come to the bank to dissolve usury? Yet the sin of riba is the same as zina dozens of times. Why the mass of the ulama actively disperse cafes and tourist attractions that are only indicated to be a nasty place for the youth. They are only busy with small things but ignore the immorality.”

According to modernist scholars, the ulama, the teungku, and the santri only focus on the lower classes. They are less concerned with the owners of companies and the government. "Why are they busy attacking clothing sellers but never pressuring the government to prohibit inappropriate clothing from entering Aceh. Why are they busy taking care of the nasty cases but not pressing the government to block accessible porn sites in Aceh.”


29 Budhy Munawar-Radman, Argumen Islam untuk Pluralisme (Jakarta: Grasindo, 2010), 266.

30 Madjid, Islam Kemodernan dan Keindonesiaan.

31 Interview with Mulyadi, M.A., August 10, 2017.


34 al-Turabi, Fiqih Demokratis: Dari Tradisionalisme Kolektif Menuju Modernisme Populis.


With the blessings of the *ulama* and the *teungku*, the *santri*, even though there is a special state institution to deal with, often do sweeping clothes that are considered strict. They often take extreme measures for people who are deemed clothed not following the rules of Islam, which is certainly the rule of Islam in the meaning of the *ulama* and the *teungku*. But they do not urge the government and employers not to bring in Aceh’s forbidden clothes. They only crack down on poor civilians and who may not have much of a collection of clothing.

The free trade products entering Aceh does not become an area of concentration among traditionalists. They banned books that were incompatible with Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā‘ah’s beliefs. 37 But they do not take action against digital media that makes it easy to access all the books with all kinds of teachings in the world.

But they do not take action against digital media that makes it easy to access all the books with all kinds of teachings in the world.38 One of the factors that led to candidates for regional heads in Aceh who campaigned with religious slogans such as the strengthening of Islamic Sharia could not increase their electability. The people prefer candidates for regional heads who carry human slogans such as improving the quality of education, health, transportation and aid for the poor.

Even in the minds of the *ulama*, there is an indication of the secularity of thought, but loyalists to the *ulama* disagreed with the *ulama* not being involved in pragmatic affairs.

“The *ulama* have a high level of reason and spirituality. Their perspective is very visionary, more than anyone else. They can see things not only by the naked eye and reasoning but have strong inner eyes. Their vision transcends all aspects of our lives. So, of course, every advice, advice, and direction from the *ulama* is the best way for us. In the Hereafter, when we are held accountable for decisions in life, we can say that the choice follows the direction of the *ulama*, then we are saved. After the Prophet is not with us, then whom do we follow? Of course, it is the person whose capacity is near the prophet. Who is he? Of course, the *ulama*.“ 39


39Interview with Tgk. Sayuti, October 8, 2017.
Although the teungku recognize the ulama do not know the details of the various praxis issues of society, but the ulama are considered able to give general advice. And general advice that can be taken into consideration in determining decisions and practical actions.

The people of Aceh to this day are still many who ask for advice and practical considerations to the ulama and the teungku to their praxis problem. But because it is only as non-binding advice, then the advice is only used as part of the reference in decisions and actions. The government and corporations also often ask advice from the ulama. But the two types of institutions have their different objective bases. Governments are bound by certain very complicated rules. So even if they seek the advice of the ulama, often the advice is only used as a normative foundation that is not seen practically in the decisions and policies of the state. Corporations have a fundamental orientation of obtaining profit as much as possible. The advice of the ulama only they use as long as it is profitable. The ulama, the teungku, and the santri do not put pressure on the state and the corporation. Whereas, the two types of institutions that are determinants of public life.

The attitude of the ulama made the modernists less fond of the religious authorities. This attitude also makes people loyal to ulama apathetic. As a result, resistance to religious-style policies is increasingly prevalent in the midst of the society. Then came the term from the people of Aceh:

_Ulamma jameun pijuet-pijut_
_Gadoh Kalued baca doa_
_Ulamma jinoe tumbon-tumboh_
_Gadoeh ek-troen rinyeun istana_44

---


The Acehnese saying is meaningful, the ulama in the past to be thin, very *tawāḍūṭ*, their time spent in meditation and worship. But the *ulama* today are fat because they often enter the royal palace or the ruler. But the saying was disputed by fanatic circles to the *ulama*. According to them, the *ulama* should indeed be close to the ruler so that they can continue to advise them. That way, the ruler can continue to be guided by the *ulama*. But is the pattern effective?

According to the loyalists to the *ulama*, the proximity of the *ulama* with power has long historical roots. In the time of the kingdom, the *ulama* became the place where the kings asked, asking for advice, opinions, and guidance. But today, the power approach to the *ulama* has another orientation that is so that government and corporate policies are accepted by society. Public acceptance is strongly influenced by the recruitment of the *ulama*. Therefore, today, the ruler approaches the *ulama* not to seek opinions and guidance but to persuade the *ulama* to accept government policies.

The loyalists to the *ulama* argue, because the *ulama* are not the holiest ones, then the error or deficiency certainly can’t be ignored from the *ulama*. “We know that the higher the quality of one’s faith, the stronger the temptation of the devil. Then, of course, the temptation of the *ulama* is very powerful. But the few shortcomings of the *ulama* we find can’t be an excuse to leave them.”

The modernists believe that the failure of religion to respond to modernity is because the *ulama* fail to understand the issues of modernity. They are considered incapable of reinterpreting the Holly Book so that the book of God is effective for modern humans. The failure occurred because the *ulama* did not dare to come out of the codification of schools and the flow of classical theology. Though the *ulama* who codify the flow of theology and the school aims to interpret the scriptures to respond to the phenomenon of its time.

Uniquely the *ulama* of today believe that the door of ijtihad has been closed so they dare not interpret the Holy Cults for today's needs. The 'old' only served to voice the classical codification for modern times. Consequently, a society that cannot avoid modernity loses the function of religion in its practical life. Religion is only empowered in static ritual worship rituals. Because apparently, that has not expired from the classical codification is about the ritual of worship only. As a result, the *ulama* have only authority in the field of static worship rituals.

---

Based on the awareness of the crisis of legitimacy experienced,\textsuperscript{46} the ulama began to try to reduce their prohibitions against the santri. For example, if the first students are prohibited from using smartphones in dayah. Now in some dayah, the ban has been revoked. Because if they overeat too much of modernity, they will lose their legitimacy.

Just a few days later the ulama saw using smartphones. Previously, they consider smartphones are objects that can bring great harm. But since such views and actions began to be a conversation in society, the ulama were considered anti-modernity, then they started using smartphones and allowed their students to use the communication tool.

By being open to modernity, society has become increasingly fond of the ulama. In dayah began to be developed institutions publishing books, journals, bulletins, and even online news portals. Modern communication media is getting a place in dayah. With this attitude, people increasingly prefer to leave their children in dayah. Though somewhat loosely attached to modernity, the authority of the ulama remains in its field of religion.

Only by gaining religious authority, even though religion is a sensitive affair for society, as religion is powerless for practical affairs, religion will continue to be shunned by society. Thus, the ulama also become increasingly distant from the community. With the loosening of the distance between the community and the authorities, the government's agenda will be easier. Modernity can also take place smoothly. Thus, society will more easily adjust to the times.

But will religion be abandoned by communities? Religion remains in his space, the worship space. That's where the authority of the ulama. So, what about the Islamic Shariah which became the legal law in Aceh? The formalization of Islamic Sharia in Aceh can be said to have no connection with the ulama. The Islamic Sharia is under the construction of Muslim scholars from modern Islamic colleges, legislative members and government executives. Sometimes scholars are only positioned as ad stars for Islamic sharia campaigns. Formalization of Islamic law is the state's agenda, not the ulama's agenda, not the agenda of the community. Since its end Darul Islam/Tentara Islam Indonesia (DI/TII), Islamic Shari'a is no longer the agenda of Aceh. GAM was founded not to demand Islamic shari'a. GAM was born out of anxiety over the notion of economic injustice to society, not demanding Islamic shari'a.

\textsuperscript{46} Jurgen Habermas, \textit{Legitimation Crisis} (Boston: Beacon Press, 1975).
C. The Immunity of the Community’s Loyalty

As mentioned at the beginning of the article, the community in Aceh is divided into three parts: 1) continue his religious education to dayah, 2) choose to enter the government education institution, and 3) choose not to continue education. So, divided into three levels of community namely: 1) the teungku who fanatic to the ulama, 2) the modernist and 3) the general public that dominates the community.

Because the second part is very few and their critical views are difficult to understand by the crowded third circles, their critical views are poorly accepted. Therefore, the general public who was disarmed by the third group remained loyal to the ulama, but only on religious matters. The third is a community that has learned from the ulama indirectly from the teungku.

The second part has also learned from the teungku, but there is largely shaped by their generally secular and moderate. Although only the first group became a fanatical party to the ulama, because the third part is dominant, then they are the determinants of loyalty to the ulama. But because they are constantly confronting modernity, then for matters that do not relate practically to religion, they do not give authority to the ulama. The authority of the ulama is only given to religious affairs.

Likewise, with the second group, though critical-minded, in unchanging matters of religion such as religious jurisprudence, they generally keep giving that loyalty to the ulama. So the loyalty of society generally to the ulama still have immunity on religious issues. Although the immunity of loyalty is only in the religious segment, because religion is an important segment of Acehnese life since almost all Acehnese have received religious education in rangkang, balee and meunasah, the position of the ulama in Aceh remain sacred.

D. Conclusion

Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā’ah in the interpretation of the authority of the ulama will still be the identity of Aceh even though modernity is constantly entering Aceh. It will continue to be the dominant teaching because it is easily understood by the general public. The ulama will still have the immunity of loyalty with notes interfering in the pragmatic affairs of society. Despite continuing modernization, religion remains an important segment of society.
Although modernity is always accepted by society because it is a necessity of the times,\(^{47}\) the human need for religion will increase. As long as religion becomes an important segment of people’s lives, community loyalty to authority remains immune.

Although only one authority in one segment is the same, the immunity of community loyalty to the authority of the *ulama* remains strong because religion is a segment that is considered very important by society. Esoteric teachings remain only an insignificant part of society because it is only followed by small communities.

From the results of research on modernist and traditionalist Muslims about the immunity of community loyalty to the authority of the *ulama* in modernity, it was found that traditionalists who are *dayah* alumni do not agree that society only gives authority to the *ulama* in the segments of religion.

But the modernists argue that the *ulama* only understand the religious issues contained in the codification of fiqh and certain theological schools. They are perceived to have failed to provide a solution to the problems of the worldliness of society so it is not worth interfering in the affairs of society in modernity. Modernists say that the *ulama* are unwilling to intervene in technical matters because they can jeopardize the stability of community loyalty.

From the results of this study, the authority is given to the *ulama* only in the field of theology (the principle of Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā’ah), regular worship (the rules of prayer, fasting, zakat, pilgrimage) and shahīsiah (marriage, divorce, division of inheritance), theological education and jurisprudence (holding of the corpse and leading the prayers of the newborn child and the newly deceased). While other affairs commonly referred to as mundane affairs such as formal education, law, politics, development, and others have their respective authorities that are not disturbed by the *ulama*. Even the *ulama* claim to be responsible only for issues that are practically and explicitly related to religion.[4]

BIBLIOGRAPHY


