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Abstract

Recently, multimodality has attracted the attention of researchers, notably in the educational milieu. However, only a few studies reported on the way students perceived the use of Genre-Based Multimodal Texts Analysis (GBMTA) for teaching multimodality. After addressing the gap, this study focuses on students’ perceptions on the use of GBMTA in multimodality teaching in higher education. Sixty-nine students were involved in the study. Each of the students produced one journal through three meetings. The journals were then collected for document analysis and thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The findings reveal that the students perceived GBMTA as facilitating them in the building of multimodal discourse analysis, challenges and solutions of comprehending multimodal teaching materials, planning better learning strategies in the future, engagement on multimodal learning issues, and multimodal text analysis practices. This study contributes to multimodality teaching or multimodal discourse analysis within genre-based learning.
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Introduction

Recent years have seen increasing interests in multimodality (Dallyono & Sukyadi, 2019; Jewitt, 2005; Kress, 2009; Lim, 2017; O’Halloran, Tan, Smith, & Podlasov, 2010; Oostendorp, 2015; Parlindungan, Rifai, & Safriani, 2018; Poulsen & Kvåle, 2018). It aligns with the development of Information Communication and Technology (often abbreviated as ICT). In this digital era, communication tends to occur in a visual or pictorial turn (Jewitt, 2012).

Concerning this, Hyland (2009) argues that visuals encompass three major metafunctions (e.g. ideational, interpersonal, and textual metafunctions) viewed from Systemic Functional Linguistics (SFL), which can supply information, assist in the construction of dialogues, and inspire audiences. Besides, multimodality deals with diverse communication modes, their connections, and integrated processes on communication and representation (Jewitt, 2009; Kress, 2010; Kress & van Leeuwen, 2001; O’Halloran & Smith, 2011). Taylor and Leung (2019) propose that reading and writing are not isolated skills, but they are closely intertwined with additional representational modes. With these in mind, contemporary educational
objectives and requirements have been directed to reframing classroom language teaching practices which initially employed traditional view (e.g. reading and writing) to multimodal one (e.g. multimodal literacies) (Taylor & Leung, 2019).

Multimodality refers to modes used in meaning-making practices, such as linguistic, visual, audio, gestural, and spatial modes (Jewitt, 2009; New London Group, 1996). This concept has emerged to revolutionize the previous concepts considering that communication and representation run monomodally and depend on language as the only tool of meaning-making (Kress, Jewitt, Ogborn, & Tsatsarelis, 2001). Because learning practices are commonly performed multimodally, teachers are expected to be able to employ various pedagogical approaches involving the combination of semiotic resources (Victor, 2011). By doing so, they can facilitate their students’ learning to understand teaching-learning materials effectively since multimodality-based teaching involves various sensory modalities, such as visual, auditory, tactile, olfactory, gustatory and kinaesthetic modes.

Multimodality is constructed from a social semiotic theory emphasizing power, representation, and communication (Kress & van Leuween, 2001). The notion of modes is ascribed as a social and cultural resource to make meanings (Stec, 2019). For instance, modes are manifested in writing, images, gestures, and 3D objects. In addition, modes are utilized reciprocally with semiotic resources (e.g., modes in a cultural history) (Kress & van Leuween, 2001). Grounded on this notion, multimodal pedagogy can assist students in literacy learning, which changes dynamically based on semiotics orientation, diverse text types, technologies, and meaning-making alternatives in this era (Ajayi, 2009).

The advancement of information, communication, and technologies (ICT) has shifted the paradigm of literacy learning. Kress (2003) posits that literacy in the new media age embraces human, cognitive, affective, cultural, and physical involvements in knowledge construction. In other words, literacy is no longer viewed as an alphabetic literacy emphasizing on the ability to read and write (Kress, 2003; F. V. Lim, 2018). Rather, it goes beyond the previous concept of communication, that is monomodal literacy (Kress, 2003; F. V. Lim, 2018; New London Group, 1996). Hence, multimodal literacy is regarded as crucial.

Multimodal literacy (Damayanti & Febrianti, 2020; Kress, 2003; F. V. Lim, 2018; New London Group, 1996) or Multimodal Communicative Competence (MCC) (Royce, 2007) is the capacity to comprehend integrated communicative modes to make meanings. Similarly, Jewitt (2009) labels it as a “multimodal turn” in which language is combined with other semiotic resources (e.g., image, music, gesture, architecture, etc.) to make meanings multimodally. Equally, Christie (2002) considers that language cannot be understood only from other semiotic resources since people’s semiosis is constructed through the integration of semiotic resources. Introducing multimodal literacy to students enables them to cultivate their capacity to analyze multimodal texts critically, to articulate their conceptual knowledge to create successful multimodal texts, and to communicate multimodally (F. V. Lim, 2018).

In Systemic Functional Linguistic tradition (hereafter, SFL), genre refers to “a staged goal-
oriented social process” (Martin, 2009, p. 10). In this case, language is portrayed as language use in diverse social contexts (Martin, 1992; Martin & Rose, 2007, 2008). This is different from the concept of genre in English for Specific Purposes (ESP) approach which focuses on rhetorical structures (e.g., structure, style, content and intended audiences) of a text to reach communicative purposes in communicative events (Swales, 1990). Meanwhile, the New Rhetoric School approach views genre as socio-contextual elements built from actions and comprehension of those elements (socio-contextual elements) varying consistently (Paltridge, 1997). Anchored in these ideas, genre is delineated as text types constructed from systematic, functional, communicative, and rhetorical elements to achieve particular communicative purposes.

Pedagogically speaking, genre plays predominant roles in language pedagogy. This is emphasized by much research focusing on how it is used in language teaching and learning (e.g., Feez, 1998; Gunawan, 2018; F. V. Lim, 2018; Rose & Martin, 2012; Rothery, 1996). One of the most frequently employed teaching approaches to genre is the Genre-Based Approach (henceforth, GBA) (Emilia, 2005; Feez, 1998; Rose & Martin, 2012; Rothery, 1996). Feez (1998) argues that GBA enables the students to understand and construct genres in heterogeneous fields and grades. Further, it helps students think critically about knowledge and practices of social semiosis, which construct the knowledge.

Technically, GBA consists of some stages, which are Building Knowledge of the Field (BKoF), Modelling of the Text (MoT), Joint Construction of the Text (JCoT), Independent Construction of the Text (ICoT) and Linking of Related Texts (LoRT) (Rose & Martin, 2012; Rothery, 1996). However, the present study puts an emphasis on how GBA is tailored for multimodality learning through multimodal text analysis. In other words, GBA is modified to be Genre-Based Multimodal Texts Analysis (henceforward, GBMTA) encompassing relevant stages of GBA, such as Building Knowledge of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, Modelling of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, Independent Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis and Linking of Related Texts (Abdullah, Tandiana, Komara, in press; Feez, 1998; Rose & Martin, 2012; Rothery, 1996). In the first stage, Building Knowledge of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, the students are directed to familiarise themselves with various multimodal texts, and their analytical tools (e.g. poster is analyzed with compositional meaning analytical framework). In the second stage, Modelling of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, they are provided with a model of multimodal text analysis and their possible analytical tools practically (e.g., the students observed and tried to analyze a multimodal text as modeled by the presenters). In the third stage, Joint Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, they are scaffolded to engage in analyzing practices collaboratively (e.g., the students were guided to select a multimodal text and analyze it collaboratively with their classmates). In the fourth stage, Independent Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, they are given opportunities to individually develop their conceptual and practical knowledge on how multimodal text is analyzed with a particular analytical tool (e.g. the students are given a task to analyze a multimodal text individually and disseminate their analysis results in the upcoming meeting). In the final stage, Linking of Related Texts, the students are encouraged to review and relate their analysis results and interpretation to
other resources to triangulate what they found from the existing or previous ones (e.g. the students were suggested to compare, relate and associate their analysis results with the existing empirical findings from previous studies and theories). In short, these stages are aimed at facilitating the students to be able to systematically analyse multimodal texts.

In accordance with the valuable contributions of multimodality to language pedagogy, many researchers have conducted empirical investigations on various issues, such as teaching multimodal texts analysis to junior high school students (Ajayi, 2009), multimodal analysis for critical thinking (MACT) (O’Halloran et al., 2015), multimodal communicative competence (MCC) (Coccetta, 2018), systemic functional approach to teaching multimodal literacy (F. V. Lim, 2018), multimodal literacy and design of learning (Nouri, 2019) and semiotic resources constructed in a picture book for children (Damayanti & Febrianti, 2020). However, a few studies focused on scrutinizing multimodality from genre-based pedagogy (e.g., Molle & Prior, 2008), the one in Indonesian context only focused on multimodal English text (e.g., recount text) teaching at senior high schools (Rahmadhni, 2018; Suherdi, 2015). Even none of the studies provide insights into students’ perceptions on a genre-based approach to multimodality learning. Grounded on the critical viewpoint of students’ perceptions on the employment of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis (GBMTA), this study explores the students’ perceptions on the implementation of GBMTA in multimodality teaching.

Method

By employing a descriptive case study design, this research aims at exploring students’ perceptions on the implementation of genre-based multimodal texts analysis in multimodality teaching. A descriptive case study was used to investigate an intervention, a phenomenon, or an actual context where it takes place (Baxter & Jack, 2008; Yin, 2003). This design was used because it enables the researcher to get involved in contextual conditions and the existing phenomenon (Abdullah & Lulita, 2018; Andriani & Abdullah, 2017; Baxter & Jack, 2008).

The present study was conducted at an English Education Department (EED) of a state university in Tasikmalaya, West Java, Indonesia. It involved 32 students (N= 8 males and 24 females) as research participants. They are between 19-22 years old. All of them can communicate in Basa Sunda (L1), Bahasa Indonesia (L2), and English (FL). Several considerations for selecting the research setting and recruiting the participants were the presence of Grammar in Multimodal Discourse (hereafter, GiMD), the accessibility of data sources, implementing institutional policies, the Genre-Based Multimodal Texts Analysis implementation (GBMTA), the students’ consent to participate in the research, and the under-researched issue of multimodality or multimodal discourse analysis, especially in Indonesian EFL context. The research data were obtained from 288 students’ reflective journals in one of the GiMD classes. Those reflective journals were collected from the second to the ninth meeting because, in such meetings, the implementation of GBMTA in classroom learning activities is considered effective, beyond the middle test, final project consultations, and final test. The students were instructed to write in a reflective journal based on a guiding template comple-
mented with given questions to reveal their learning experiences (what did I learnt in the class?), challenges (what challenges did I encounter during learning activities?), problem-solving skills (what did I do to overcome those difficulties?) and future learning plans (what should I do next for my better learning?). The reflective journals were collected collectively via email by a representative of the class (leader of the class).

The research data were analyzed thematically (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Thematic analysis was performed to analyze, manage, delineate, and inform themes reflected in a data set (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The main advantages underlying the use of this analytical tool were its flexibility, particularity, and contextuality, supporting the researcher to fine-tune the existing data with the empirical needs (Braun & Clarke, 2006). More practically, the analytical procedure encompassed six major steps, which were familiarising with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing the report (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

Findings and Discussion

Knowledge building of Multimodal Discourse Analysis

When learning multimodality in GiMD class, the teacher applied GBMTA as a teaching technique. One of the steps in such a technique is Building Knowledge of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis. In this step, the students were guided to comprehend multi-modal texts and genre-based multimodal text analysis. In particular, they learned how meanings are conveyed through various communication modes, such as visual, auditory, tactile, olfactory, gustatory, and kinaesthetic modes (Kress & van Leuween, 2006; O’Halloran & Smith, 2011). More specific information is elaborated in excerpt #1 as follows:

Excerpt #1

I learned about the concept of Discourse, Multimodal Discourse Analysis, focuses on MDA which comprises semiotic resource, intersemiosis and resemiotization, challenges on conducting MDA, reasons of paradigmatic shift from single linguistic resource to multimodal ones, approaches to MDA, theoretical and analytical issues on MDA, and doing some simple analysis on some pictures based on our prior knowledge. (3rd meeting reflective journal of participant #8).

As the excerpt indicates, participant #8 learned conceptual knowledge of discourse, notably Multimodal Discourse Analysis. It is reflected by his statement claiming that he learned semiotic resources, intersemiosis and resemiotization, challenges of conducting MDA, paradigmatic shift from monomodality and practicing how to analyze pictures based on their prior knowledge. Consistent with this, multimodal analytical procedures put a heavy emphasis on textual and pictorial modes as the focus of investigation (V. F. Lim, 2017). In short, GBMTA enables the students to build their knowledge of Multimodal Discourse Analysis (MDA).

Challenges and Solutions of Comprehending Multimodal Teaching Materials

Another finding reveals the students’ challenges and solutions while comprehending one of the multimodal teaching materials (e.g., Multimodal Social Semiotics on a university website, interactive meaning etc.). As an illustration, participant #23 acknowledged that she was still confused about the representation
of network viewer as an analysed element in website analysis process through Multimodal Social Semiotics analytical lens (Kress, 2010; Michelson & Valencia, 2016).

Excerpt #2
I am still confused about the representation and network viewer and also I’m a bit confused about how our interpretation is spoken when we did not find any sources as our background knowledge to interpret a thing which pictures, sounds, or gestures (2nd meeting reflective journal of participant #23).

In addition to the challenges of understanding an issue in multimodal teaching materials, participant #23 encountered another challenge when trying to interpret spoken discourse since she could not locate any supporting and relevant sources “I’m a bit confuse how our interpretation is spoken when we did not find any sources.” Likewise, she assumed that the challenges to interpret meanings and discourses represented in such a website derived from her inadequate knowledge of analyzed pictures, sounds, and gestures.

To resolve her challenges, participant #23 learned from her peer (e.g., noticing classroom presentation of participant #18) disseminating visual analysis of a university website. In addition, she was able to identify the forms and functions of Multimodal Social Semiotics (MSS) proposed by Kress (2010) as an analytical tool to analyze a website. The tool consists of four major components, namely rhetor (the initiating agent of the meaning-making process), design (decisions about genre, mode, discourse, and medium which are made), ground (a message) that was designed by an institution), and interpreter (the website user/ interpreter who engage with a ground that was designed by an institution/rhetor) (Kress, 2010; Michelson & Valencia, 2016). It is exemplified in the following excerpt:

Excerpt #3
On Wednesday, 13 March 2019, I’ve learned about website analysis through presentation from participant #18 and friends about visual analysis on university website. On the article they have been presented, the researcher used case study as their method to analyse the visual of website to find four components of Kress’ Model (rhetor, design, ground, and interpreter) (2nd meeting reflective journal of participant #23).

Consequently, she understood what MSS is, why using it to analyze a website and how to deploy it practically after learning from her peer. Given these facts, Modelling of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis (Abdullah et al., in press; Feez, 1998) as a stage of GBMTA contributes to the students’ understanding of multimodal teaching materials and collaborative learning.

Planned better learning strategies in the future
Once they reflected on their learning experiences in GiMD course, they realized that they should be able to reach better learning outcomes for each meeting. Participant #5 planned to allocate more free time to learn multimodal teaching materials (e.g., learning how to analyse pictures). It is due to her confusion of comprehending multimodal teaching materials, as reflected in excerpt #4.

Excerpt #4
I should have free time and try to learn more about how to analyze the picture, because I’m still confused (3rd meeting reflective journal of participant #5)

Another future learning plan was mentioned by participant #17, who was aware that she was
a passive student in the class. As a result, she planned to be more active during learning activities in GiMD course as denoted by what she expressed “I have to be an active student in the lecturer’s class.” Besides, she tried to motivate herself to learn better.  

Excerpt #5

Perhaps, especially for me. I have to be an active student in the lecturer’s class in order to I can motivate myself to be better (3rd meeting reflective journal of participant #17)

This shows that participant #17 is able to play her role as an aware and motivated student by possessing a better learning plan.

In a similar vein, participant #27 has planned to attain better learning outcomes in the upcoming classroom meeting. Initially, she realized that she had not read the teaching materials extensively even though the teacher had provided a plenty of reading materials (e.g., journals, books, chapter books, etc.) to widen their insight and deepen their knowledge on multimodal teaching materials. Further, since in the next meeting, she and her group had to present a multimodal teaching material, she did some preparation activities, such as searching for relevant resources to support her materials for classroom presentation as displayed in the excerpt #6.

Excerpt #6

I think for the next for my better learning, I must read the journal from the lecturer and searching to the internet about the materials for next week because it’s time to my group presentation to give the materials (7th meeting reflective journal of participant #27)

Overall, although they (participant #5, 17 & 27) faced miscellaneous challenges when taking part in GiMD learning activities, they could overcome such challenges by reflecting on their learning weaknesses and improve their learning performance through their own planned learning strategies. It is relevant to the notion of Macken-Horarik (2002) that Systemic Functional Linguistics Genre Pedagogy (henceforth, SFL GP) supports students in accessing educational discourses, familiarising and criticizing with various genres needed for their academic, professional, and social purposes.

Engagement on multimodal learning issues

The students’ engagement on multimodal issues has become one of the central findings of this study because it represents their perceptions on learning issues offered in GiMD course. To exemplify, participant #1 stated that she learned poster analysis discussing theoretical and practical underpinnings of how a poster is analyzed based on a particular analytical framework (e.g., compositional analytical framework). In particular, she shared her experience when joining GBMTA on posters of an Irish political party (Fianna Fail). They learned the analytical components of the compositional analytical framework, such as information value, framing, and salience (Kress & van Leuween, 2006). Information value refers to the positioning of elements providing particular informational values attributed to sundry image zones (e.g., left and right, top and bottom, center, and margin). Framing is associated with the elements created to grab the people’s attention at various levels and manifested in the positioning of foreground, background, relative size, contrasts in tonal value (e.g., colors), diverse types of sharpness and so forth. Salience constitutes the existence or non-existence of framing tools uniting or separating image
elements indicating whether or not they are part of a particular mode (Kress & van Leuween, 2006). These compositional meaning elements become a prescribed guidance for the students to engage in the analysis of multimodal texts (e.g., poster), especially for locating compositional meanings (Lirola, 2016).

Technically, information value encompasses margins, positioning, and direction of a multimodal text. Salience is commonly manifested in colors, size, sharpness, and fonts. Framing covers connected or disconnected associations between writing, background, and foreground. Grounded in such an analytical framework (compositional meaning analytical framework), the students were engaged in interactive teaching and learning activities. Gunawan (2014, p. 37) adds that “if teachers teach students to construct meanings in a text to engage readers, they focus on how the authors commonly use language to engage readers”.

Participant #1 expressed her perceptions on deploying compositional analytical framework to a poster (e.g., political poster), such as understanding the strategies of political campaigns of Fianna Fail existing in a journal given by the teacher, identifying how to practically analyze it, and sharing their understandings to classmates to have further discussions. Additionally, she and her learning group sought for another poster relevant to their field, that is English Education. Once they found a poster (e.g., educational poster in Nepal), they analyzed it collaboratively. Then, they disseminated the results of the analysis to their classmates. These are denoted in the subsequent excerpt:

Excerpt #7
This week I have learned about poster analysis. Some things that must be analyzed are compositional meaning where they are divided 3. The first, information value including margins, positioning, and direction. The second salience includes colors, size, sharpness, and fonts. And the third is framing where there are connected and unconnected between writing and background. Here I study several posters of political campaigns from Fianna Fail. After that, I tried to analyze one of the educational posters. The poster I chose was an educational poster in Nepal. This poster illustrates how education is in Nepal. In analyzing this poster, we worked in groups. We share several tasks with each of us so we can work (3rd meeting reflective journal of participant #1)

Hence, GBMTA is regarded as facilitating the students to experience cognitive and behavioral engagement during GiMD learning activities.

Multimodal text analysis practices
The students learned multimodal knowledge not only theoretically but also practically. It is outlined in GBMTA learning activities containing the following elements Building Knowledge of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, Modelling of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, Joint Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, Independent Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, Linking of Related Texts (Abdullah et al., in press; Feez, 1998). However, this study does not discuss Linking to Related Texts due to its absence from the data analysis results. These activities are depicted in the following excerpt:

Excerpt #6
In this meeting, I learnt about journal that explains how to analyze the political poster. The political poster designed to represent the leader of Fianna Fail party during the four general election campaigns that took place from 1997 to 2011. This discussion was presented by participant #6’s group and after that we try to analyze another poster that served by participant #6’s group. We try to
analyze it based on the background of the poster maker, the picture that attach, the color of poster, the text and the space. And the last activity is the lecture gave us the assignment to analysis another educational poster (7th meeting reflective journal of participant #20).

In this case, they learned theoretical knowledge in the first stage, namely Building Knowledge of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis. As an example, participant #20 learned theories for analyzing political posters from an article entitled Multimodal analysis of a sample of political posters in Ireland during and after the Celtic Tiger written by (Lirola, 2016). It discusses how compositional meaning is represented in Irish political posters involving an Irish republican political party (Fianna Fail) and its candidate to run in the Prime Minister election (Bertie Ahern). This is reflected by what participant #20 mentioned “I learnt about journal that explains how to analyze the political poster. The political poster designed to represent the leader of Fianna Fail party during the four general election campaigns that took place from 1997 to 2011.” This emphasizes that the students are equipped with sufficient theoretical knowledge about what a poster is, how to analyse it multimodally and interpret the compositional meanings represented in a multimodal text.

In Modelling of Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, participant #20 was given a model of analysis to familiarise herself with semiotic resources in a multimodal text (posters) and a particular analytical framework (e.g., compositional meaning analytical framework). Such an activity is reflected by her statement, that “this discussion was presented by participant #6’s group and after that we try to analyze another poster served by participant #6’s group.” She mentioned that she was guided in observing a step-by-step analyzing process, describing the analysis results and interpretation as modeled by her classmates.

In Joint Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis, participant #20 was required to work together with other students and start analyzing an educational poster collaboratively in the class. In practice, she portrayed that she collaboratively analyzed a poster as reflected by what she stated: “We try to analyze it based on the background of the poster maker, the picture attached, the color of poster, the text and the space.” She further elaborated that she and her group specifically analysed an educational poster from the perspective of poster designers, poster colours and poster textual, pictorial, and spatial information. By doing this, participant #20 was encouraged to have collaborative learning experiences which are beneficial for her, particularly to share her ideas and confirm her understanding on multimodal texts analysis.

Different from Joint Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis motivating the students to learn collaboratively, Independent Genre-Based Multimodal Text Analysis enables them to learn individually and autonomously. This is shown by the utterance of participant #20 “And the last activity is the lecture gave us the assignment to analyze another educational poster. In this case, she was given the assignment to analyse another educational poster individually and submitted it to the teacher next week. Rose and Martin (2012, p. 7) contend that teaching “should be harmonized with students’ experience, knowledge, and need”. This type of activity can encourage the students to learn autonomously and identify their theoretical and
practical knowledge of the learned teaching materials.

To sum up, GBMTA is seen as giving the students opportunities to learn multimodal teaching materials theoretically and practically.

**Conclusion**

This study focused on exploring students’ perceptions on the implementation of GBMTA in multimodality teaching in higher education. The findings reveal that the students perceived how GBMTA helps them in the area of knowledge building of multimodal discourse analysis, challenges and solutions of comprehending multimodal teaching materials, planning better learning strategies in the future, engagement on multimodal learning issues, and multimodal text analysis practices. In other words, the students had positive perceptions on the use of GBMTA in multimodality teaching.

Pedagogically speaking, the students were guided to perform autonomous learning after experiencing scaffolded learning activities in GBMTA, such as building knowledge of genre-based multimodal text analysis, modeling of genre-based multimodal text analysis, joint genre-based multimodal text analysis, independent genre-based multimodal text analysis and linking related texts. With this in mind, they became aware of a paradigmatic shift in literacy learning (e.g., literacy is not only reading and writing but also multimodal literacy or multimodal communicative competence) due to their improved abilities to understand meanings from diverse multimodal texts.

While this study has provided findings which contribute to multimodality teaching (multimodal discourse analysis) and the implementation of GBMTA, it has some shortcomings. First, the data might not provide a holistic/comprehensive insight into the research topic. Therefore, future studies should use multiple data collection techniques (e.g., document analysis, interview, or observation) for obtaining more credible and dependable data. Second, this study focused only on the students’ perspective. Further research should include multiple perspectives from various participants, such as the perspectives of teachers, parents, policymakers or teaching materials designers, to attain intersubjectivity. In addition, this study involved homogeneous research participants, Indonesian EFL students majoring in English language education. Future research should involve other English language speakers, such as native English speakers, to capture more a comprehensive portrayal of English language learners globally (e.g., just considering English as a mother tongue, English as a second language, and English as a foreign language). Since the current study employed a descriptive case study design, it only investigated a phenomenon or the context of the investigated issue, such as the students’ perceptions on the implementation of GBMTA in multimodality teaching in higher education. Future studies can use different research designs (e.g., exploratory or explanatory case study, ethnography, survey, critical discourse analysis etc.) to obtain deeper, wider, and more critical findings.
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