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#### Abstract

This research aims to study the quantitative structure and activity relationship (QSAR) of gammacarboline derivative compounds as anti-BVDV agents to get an equation that can predict the value of the anti-BVDV activity of gamma-carboline derived compounds. The research material is experimental EC50 data that convert to anti-BVDB activity. 14 gamma-carboline derivative compounds are divided into 2 groups, namely, 11 fitting compounds and 3 test compounds. QSAR analysis is based on multilinear regression calculations of the fitting compound by plotting the EC50 log as the dependent variable and the descriptor as the independent variable. The used descriptors are atomic net charge (q) and dipole moment ( $\mu$ ), which are involved in calculations using the AM1 semiempirical quantum mechanics method. In addition, the partition coefficient of n-octanol/water (Log P), molecular polarizability ( $\alpha$ ), molecular weight (BM), Van Der Waals surface area (A vdw), Van Der Waals volume (Vvdw), and index of refraction (RD) are obtained from QSAR properties. The resulting QSAR equation is: $$
\begin{aligned} & \log p E C_{50}=-48.670-124.801\left(q C_{11}\right)-12.661(\alpha)-0.918(\mu)-0.876(R D)-0.999(\log P)+1.863(B M) \\ &+0.043(V v d w) \\ & \text { with } n=14 ; r=0.937 ; r^{2}=0.878 ; S D=0.244 ; F_{\text {count }} / F_{\text {table }}=1.466 ; \text { PRESS }=0.749 ; \text { Sig. }=0.02 \end{aligned}
$$

This equation can be used as an initial guide for designing the structure of new compounds of the gamma-carboline class by considering some of the most influential descriptors. Consequentially, new compounds can be designed that have a smaller predicted $E C_{50}$ value than the known compounds derived from gamma-carboline.
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## Introduction

Bovine Viral Diarrhea (BVD) or malignant diarrhea in cattle is caused by the bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV). BVDV can cause a variety of clinical symptoms in cattle, including dermatitis, gastrointestinal problems, fever, diarrhea, leukopenia, bleeding, and even death (Bianchi et al., 2019). The BVDV virus is widespread throughout the world and is also to blame for major livestock losses, which result in substantial financial losses for farmers (Houe, 2003). Worldwide reports of BVDV infection in cattle indicate a substantial prevalence (Richter et al., 2019).

Australia, which produces beef and dairy products, indicated that the prevalence of BVDV in cattle was approximately $13 \%$ (South Australia), while the prevalence of BVDV in cattle was found to be $60 \%$ in New Zealand (Reichel et al., 2018). Malaysia reported a BVDV prevalence of $33.2 \%$ in Selangor (Daves et al., 2016). In heifers in the northeast, research from Thailand found a seroprevalence of $36.1 \% ~(n=1165)$ (Nilnont et al., 2016). In the north of the country, livestock had a seroprevalence of just 7.7\% (n = 390), according to a Laos study (Olmo et al., 2019).

Timika, formerly known as Mimika, is a cattle ranch or cattle breeding region in southern Papua, Indonesia. Bali cattle (Bos javanicus), a breed raised in Timika, is one breeds that provide animals for Muslims during Eid al-Adha. BVDV prevalence is found to be $18.4 \%$ in the Timika cattle area (Nugroho et al., 2020). It is required to research medications that can be used to decrease the degree of risk of disease and its spread based on reports and analyses of disease risk and its dissemination.

Drug design is a process that begins with the determination of compounds that exhibit important biological properties and ends with compound optimization steps. Combining synthesizes and straight tests of a compound's activity can be more difficult and take longer before the medications are used. Utilizing computational chemistry enables the development of a theoretical strategy that can
quantitatively calculate the relationship between biological activity and compound structure transformation. If a compound's harmful effect on biological activity is determined, the biological activity of similar compounds or their derivatives can be estimated (Hernandez \& Shivraj, 2020). To find out new compounds, it should develop molecular designs either direct synthesis or experiments through a modeling approach using computational chemistry concepts (Genheden et al., 2017). In this study, the gamma-carboline and the pEC50 data from the research of Dai et al., (2018). Alkaloidcarboline (Figure 1) is a chemical compound with various bioactivities, including antivirus, antibacterial, antifungal, antiparasitic, antitumor, anti-inflammatory, and neuropharmacological activities (Zhang et al., 2017).

Carboline compounds have been identified by Salim et al., (2010) as therapeutic candidates with potent anti-BVDV action. It possesses the highest anti-BVDV activity among the carboline-derived compounds (Figure 2 g ), with an EC50 value of $0.26 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (concentration induces a $50 \%$ reduction in BVDV-induced cell death) (Dai et al., 2018). Gamma-carboline, a derivative molecule, can be examined computationally to determine the best QSAR equation based on this potential. The study's objective was to determine the best QSAR equation, which may then be used to predict how effective gammacarboline derivatives will be in preventing BVDV. The QSAR equation is an determines the characteristics that influence a compound's activity. This equation can also be utilized to construct linear equations that identify the most influential descriptors on a compound's biological activity and predict the biological activity of new compounds. So the equation can use as a reference for designing a new more potential gamma carboline-derived anti-BVDV drug. The QSAR equation involves several descriptors that have the most influence on a compound's activity value. As a result, this equation can use as a first guide for developing new gamma-carboline derivative
compounds with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value that lower prediction than existing compounds.


Figure 1. Gamma-carboline and the designation of the net charge of the atoms

Computational optimization of molecular modeling geometry uses quantum mechanical principles. Semiempirical Method Austin Model 1 (AM1) is a quantum mechanical method that has been widely used in chemical modeling to produce the best QSAR equations (A. Asmara et al., 2013; A. P. Asmara \& Dwi, 2015; Hadanu et al., 2015; Hafshah \& Karlina, 2019; Mudasir et al., 2010; Mustofa et al., 2010; Rakhman et al., 2019; Thalheim et al., 2011). The determination of the QSAR equation, besides selecting the optimization method, also needs descriptors which are parameters that influence the pEC50 value. The descriptors determined after the structure was optimized net atomic charge (q), dipole moment ( $\mu$ ), partition coefficient n-octanol/water (Log P), molecular polarizability ( $\alpha$ ), molecular weights (MWs), surface area of Van Der Waals (A vdW), Van Der Waals volume (V vdW), and index of refraction (IR). Determination of the most influential descriptor on the value of pEC50 is mathematically done with a correlation test. The QSAR equation is obtained through multilinear regression analysis by backward method and continues by enter method with the descriptor as the independent variable and the $\log$ pEC50 value as the dependent variable.

## Research Methods Materials

This study examined 14 Gamacarboline derivative compounds that had been synthesized and tested experimentally for anti-BVDB activity by Dai et al., (2018). The 14 gamma-carboline derivatives (Figure 2) distribute into 2 groups: 11 fitting compounds (Figures $2 \mathrm{c}-2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and 3 test compounds (Figures 2a, 2b, and 2n). The fitting compound used for the initial analysis to determine the QSAR equation uses the backward method. The results of these equations are tested on test compounds whose structures are representative of all gamma-carboline derivative compounds. The determination of the test compounds is based on the steric hindrance of the variations of gammacarboline derivatives. Compounds $2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and n (Figure 2) represent the steric level of the gamma-carboline derivatives, from the least steric to the most steric.

## Tools

Computational chemical calculations are carried out using a set of computers, including hardware and software. The hardware has specifications such as an Intel Core i5 processor and Random Access Memory (RAM). The software is Windows 8, Hyperchem 8.0.8, and JASP's statistical software.

## Work Procedures

## Determination of descriptors

This research used Iswanto et al. (2007), which started method, started in modeling derivative compounds Gamacarboline in two dimensions using hyperChem 8.0.8. The structure was then equipped with hydrogen atoms on each of its atoms. Each structure is optimized through geometry optimization to minimize molecular energy to obtain the most stable conformation. Geometry optimization used the Polak-Ribieré
algorithm, the lowest state, which means calculated at the lowest energy or ground state, and the RMS gradient was 0.001 kcal/A.mol, and the convergence limit is 0,001 kcal/A.mol. Descriptors included the et atomic charge, dipole moment, Log P , molecular polarizability, molecular weight, Van Der Waals surface area, Van Der Waals volume, and index of refraction. The data of descriptors and their calculation method are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Descriptors and how to calculate them

| No | symbol | Descriptor Unit |  | Calculation method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | q | Net charge of atoms $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{11}$ and atoms $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (Figure 1) | Coulumb | Semiempirical AM1, Hyperchem, and optimization of gamacarboline |
| 2 | $\mu$ | moment | Debye |  |
| 3 | Log P | n-octanol/water coefficient $\quad$ partition | - | Hyperchem, QSAR properties |
| 4 | $\alpha$ | molecular polarizability | $\AA^{3}$ |  |
| 5 | MW | molecular weight | s.m.a |  |
| 6 | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{vdw}}$ | Van Der Waals surface area | $\AA^{2}$ |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {vdw }}$ | Van Der Waals volume | $\AA^{3}$ |  |
| 8 | RD | Refractive Index | $\AA$ |  |

## Correlation Analysis and Determination of QSAR Equations

The Correlation analysis bivariate was carried out using the two-tailed and Pearson's coefficient method (Vaulina et al., 2012). In this step, each descriptor looks for its correlation level with pEC50 activity. Then choose the atomic charge $\left(\mathrm{qC}_{1}-\mathrm{qC}_{11}\right.$ and $\mathrm{qN}_{1}-$ $\mathrm{qN}_{2}$ ), dipole moment $(\mu)$, $\log \mathrm{P}$, polarizability $(\alpha)$, MW, Van der Waals surface area ( $\mathrm{A}_{v d w}$ ), volumes Van der Waals (V vdw), and index of refraction (RD). A high degree of correlation means that it has a significant effect on $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$. Furthermore, the selected descriptors use as independent variables in Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) analysis. The used of MLR method is a backward and enter method (A. Asmara et al., 2013; A. P. Asmara \& Dwi, 2015; Iswanto et al., 2007; Rakhman et al., 2019; Vaulina \& Iswanto, 2006).

Determination of the best QSAR equation will require multilinear regression statistical analysis. The analysis was carried out using the JASP application, with the backward method on 11 compounds fitting for the dependent variable pEC50 and the independent variable result from the correlation test. The selection of the equation model for the output method backward analysis is statistically analyzed, including the correlation coefficient (r), partition coefficient ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ ), standard deviation (SD), and the F value of the model obtained each one is tested by PRESS testing on the 3 test compounds. The selected model is then analyzed using the enter method for all compounds (fitting and test compounds) to obtain the final QSAR equation.


A
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 5H-pyrido $[4,3-b]$ indole


E
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=2.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$
3-methyl-5H-pyrido[4,3b]indole


I
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$
7-methyl-5H-pyrido[4,3$b$ ]indole


M
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=9.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$
5-butyl-5H-pyrido[4,3b]indole


B
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=3.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$
3,4,5-trimethyl-5 H pyrido $[4,3-b]$ indole


F
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.55 \mu \mathrm{M}$
4-methyl-5H-pyrido[4,3$b$ ]indole


J
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=3.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$
8-methyl-5H-pyrido[4,3b]indole


N
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=8.7 \mu$
5-(2-phenylmethyl)-5Hpyrido $[4,3-b]$ indole


C
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.58 \mu \mathrm{M}$
1-methyl-5 H pyrido $[4,3-b]$ indole


G
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.26 \mu \mathrm{M}$
5-methyl-5 H pyrido $[4,3-b]$ indole


K
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$
9-methyl-5 H pyrido $[4,3-b]$ indole


D
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=4.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 2-methyl-2H-pyrido[4,3b]indole

$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=1.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$
6-methyl-5H-pyrido[4,3b] indole


L
$\mathrm{EC}_{50}=8.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$
5-propyl-5H-pyrido[4,3-
b]indole

Figure 2. Gamma-carboline derivatives

## Results and Discussion

This study examined the quantitative relationship between the structure of gammacarboline and anti-BVDV activity. A total of 14 compounds derived from gamma-carboline are modeled with Hyperchem 8.0.8, and then geometry optimization carries out. Geometry optimization is the way to get the most stable shape and molecular structure with the lowest potential energy. Figure 3 depicts the stick and ball model of the derivative compound gamma-carboline after optimization with the AM1 method.

The derivative compound gammacarboline is calculated with its physicochemical properties with QSAR properties to obtain the values of $\log \mathrm{P}$, polarizability $(\alpha)$, MW, area Van der Waals (A $v d w$ ), volumes Van der Waals (V vdw), and index of refraction (RD), meanwhile the atomic charge and dipole moment are obtained from the log file of optimization result. Descriptor calculation data for the AM1 method can be seen in Table 2.


Figure 3. The stick and balls compound the gamma-carboline optimization AM1

The obtained data of descriptors calculation is then carried out a correlation test to determine the relationship between the variable and the $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ of derivative compound gamma-carboline. The twin-tailed and Pearson correlation coefficient is used for correlation analysis. The results of the correlation analysis were used to select the descriptors as independent variables in the calculation of MLR (Multiple Linear Regression) statistics. This is because the Backward Method only requires n-2 independent variables, which $n$ is the number of fittings used (Iswanto et al., 2007; Vaulina \& Iswanto, 2006). The fitting data in this study
has as many as 11 variables, therefore the required independent variables are 9 descriptors. Based on the correlation values between variables shown in Table 3, the most influential variables to $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ are $\mathrm{qN} 2, \mathrm{qC11}$, $\log \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{RD}, \mathrm{MW}, \mathrm{V}$ vdw, and A vdw.

The nine descriptors selected based on correlation analysis are then used as independent variables in the multilinear model of the pEC50 value as the dependent variable. Regression analysis Multilinear is carried out using the backward method first on 11 fittings. Therefore, it produces the three equation models presented in Table 4.

Table 2. Data for descriptor calculations of the AM1

| Fitting compounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Struktur | qC1 | qN1 | qC2 | qC3 | qC4 | qN2 | qC5 | qC6 | qC7 | qC8 | qC9 | qC10 | qC11 | $\alpha(A ̊)$ | $\mu$ (Debye) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD } \\ & (\AA \AA) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \log \\ \mathrm{P} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BM} \\ (\mathrm{amu}) \end{gathered}$ | Vvdw <br> (Å) | Avdw (Å) | pEC50 |
| C |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.046 | 0.168 | 0.04 | -0.22 | 0.071 | 0.229 | 0.032 | 0.155 | 0.182 | 0.157 | 0.081 | 0.063 | 0.137 | 21.8 | 2.673 | 62.7 | 0.46 | 182.22 | 577 | 264.56 | -0.2366 |
|  |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D | 0.034 | 0.175 | 0.044 | 0.153 | 0.028 | 0.147 | 0.017 | 0.106 | 0.124 | 0.149 | 0.097 | 0.083 | 0.167 | 21.8 | 6.506 | 62.05 | 0.11 | 182.22 | 588.64 | 291.79 | 0.63347 |
| E | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.011 | 0.166 | 0.015 | 0.217 | 0.07 | 0.228 | 0.031 | 0.154 | 0.103 | 0.156 | 0.078 | 0.063 | -0.14 | 21.8 | 2.794 | 64.02 | 1.39 | 182.22 | 587.65 | 282.45 | 0.34242 |
| F | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.021 | 0.161 | 0.047 | 0.149 | 0.063 | 0.225 | 0.031 | 0.154 | 0.102 | 0.157 | 0.077 | 0.066 | -0.13 | 21.8 | 3.123 | 62.51 | 1.27 | 182.22 | 583.19 | 270.35 | -0.2596 |
| G | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.018 | 0.164 | 0.048 | -0.21 | 0.071 | 0.185 | 0.038 | 0.153 | 0.102 | 0.157 | 0.077 | 0.066 | 0.134 | 21.8 | 3.317 | 63.12 | 1.17 | 182.22 | 580.29 | 273.5 | -0.585 |
| H | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.017 | 0.164 | 0.048 | 0.212 | 0.066 | 0.226 | 0.031 | 0.093 | 0.101 | 0.153 | -0.08 | 0.063 | 0.134 | 21.8 | 3.268 | 62.51 | 1.27 | 182.22 | 581.7 | 268.86 | 0.23045 |
| I | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.018 | 0.164 | 0.048 | 0.212 | 0.066 | 0.229 | 0.037 | 0.156 | 0.039 | 0.159 | 0.072 | 0.071 | 0.132 | 21.8 | 3.268 | 62.51 | 1.27 | 182.22 | 586.58 | 282.13 | 0.04139 |
| J | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.017 | 0.164 | 0.048 | 0.212 | 0.066 | 0.227 | 0.027 | -0.15 | 0.103 | 0.095 | 0.077 | 0.063 | 0.134 | 21.8 | 3.032 | 62.51 | 1.27 | 182.22 | 585.72 | 281.76 | 0.47712 |
| K |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | -0.02 | 0.164 | 0.048 | 0.212 | 0.066 | -0.23 | 0.03 | 0.161 | 0.097 | 0.159 | 0.013 | 0.069 | 0.131 | 21.8 | 2.775 | 62.51 | 1.27 | 182.22 | 575.51 | 264.88 | 0.07918 |
| L | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.019 | 0.165 | 0.049 | 0.211 | 0.073 | 0.181 | 0.038 | 0.153 | 0.103 | 0.157 | 0.077 | 0.066 | 0.135 | 25.47 | 3.457 | 72.4 | 0.36 | 210.28 | 680.56 | 325.59 | 0.91381 |
| M | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.019 | 0.164 | -0.05 | 0.208 | 0.068 | 0.177 | 0.034 | 0.151 | 0.104 | 0.156 | 0.078 | 0.065 | 0.133 | 27.3 | 3.43 | 77 | 0.03 | 224.31 | 725.16 | 341.53 | 0.96379 |
| Testing Compounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Struktur | qC1 | qN1 | qC2 | qC3 | qC4 | qN2 | qC5 | qC6 | qC7 | qC8 | qC9 | qC10 | qC11 | $\alpha(A ̊)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu \\ \text { (Debye) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD } \\ & (\AA) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Log} \\ \mathrm{P} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BM} \\ (\mathrm{amu}) \end{gathered}$ | Vvdw (Å) | Avdw <br> (Å) | pEC50 |
|  | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| A | 0.016 | 0.165 | 0.011 | 0.145 | 0.078 | 0.184 | 0.035 | 0.154 | 0.104 | 0.157 | 0.078 | 0.065 | 0.138 | 19.96 | 3.037 | 58.23 | 1.42 | 168.2 | 532.74 | 238.67 | 0.30103 |
| B | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.016 | 0.165 | 0.011 | 0.145 | 0.078 | 0.184 | 0.035 | 0.154 | 0.104 | 0.157 | 0.078 | 0.065 | 0.138 | 25.47 | 3.213 | 73.19 | 0.99 | 210.28 | 667.03 | 333.81 | 0.54407 |
|  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | , |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| N | 0.018 | 0.164 | 0.049 | 0.215 | 0.071 | -0.17 | 0.041 | 0.148 | 0.103 | 0.156 | 0.078 | 0.066 | 0.131 | 31.46 | 3.386 | 91.88 | 0.16 | 258.32 | 780.5 | 333.99 | 0.93952 |

Table 3. A correlation value of the descriptor for $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$

| No | Descriptor | Nilai Korelasi dengan <br> $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\mathrm{qC}_{1}$ | -0.071 |
| 2. | $\mathrm{qN}_{2}$ | $-0.273^{*}$ |
| 3. | $\mathrm{qC}_{2}$ | -0.236 |
| 4. | $\mathrm{qC}_{3}$ | -0.021 |
| 5. | $\mathrm{qC}_{4}$ | -0.220 |
| 6. | $\mathrm{qN}_{2}$ | 0.452 |
| 7. | $\mathrm{qC}_{5}$ | -0.267 |
| 8. | $\mathrm{qC}_{6}$ | 0.214 |
| 9. | $\mathrm{qC}_{7}$ | 0.084 |
| 10. | $\mathrm{qC}_{8}$ | 0.214 |
| 11. | $\mathrm{qC}_{9}$ | -0.173 |
| 12. | $\mathrm{qC}_{10}$ | 0.276 |
| 13. | $\mathrm{qC} \mathrm{C}_{11}$ | $-0.297^{*}$ |
| 14. | A | $0.694^{*}$ |
| 15. | $\mu$ | $0.349^{*}$ |
| 16. | Log P | $0.572^{*}$ |
| 17. | RD | $0.678^{*}$ |
| 18. | BM | $0.694^{*}$ |
| 19. | $\mathrm{~V} v d w$ | $0.729^{*}$ |
|  |  |  |

Note: *9 descriptors chosen based on the highest correlation value
Table 4. Analysis result of Equation model by a backward method

| Model | Equations | r | $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ | SD | $\mathbf{F}_{\text {count }} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}$ | PRESS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{pEC}_{50}=126,17-1,318(\mathrm{qN} 2)+77,482(\alpha)- \\ & 0,899(\mathrm{RD})-1,361(\text { Log } \mathrm{P})-9,798(\mathrm{BM})+ \\ & 0,014(\mathrm{Vvdw})+0,003(\text { Avdw })-0,838(\mu)- \\ & 138,448(\mathrm{qC11}) \end{aligned}$ | 0,999 | 0,998 | 0,983 | $\begin{aligned} & 64,468 / 241= \\ & 0,2675 \end{aligned}$ | 538,0755 |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{pEC}_{50}=125,608-0,549(\mathrm{qN2})+77,209(\alpha)- \\ & 0,925(\mathrm{RD})-1,385(\text { Log P) - 9,768 (BM) }+ \\ & 0,019(\mathrm{Vvdw})-0,875(\mu)-141,445(\mathrm{qC11}) \end{aligned}$ | 0,999 | 0,998 | 0,991 | $\begin{aligned} & 134,225 / 19,3 \\ & 7=6,9295 \end{aligned}$ | 224420,94 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{pEC} \\ & 50 \end{aligned}=122,986+75,892(\alpha)-0,943(\mathrm{RD})-1 \text { (Log P) - 9,593 (BM) + 0,02 (Vvdw) - }$ | 0,999 | 0,998 | 0,994 | $\begin{aligned} & 224,933 / 8,89 \\ & =25,3017 \end{aligned}$ | 558,573 |

Based on the data in Table 4, the $r$ and $r^{2}$ of the three equation models are the same, namely 0.999 and 0.998 . These two values indicate that the three equation models are accepted based on the values of $r$ and $r^{2}$ because the good values of $r$ and $r^{2}$ are close to 1 . The SD value interprets the standard deviation value; the smaller the value, the better the equation model. Based on the SD value, model equation 2 is the best model because the SD value is the smallest than the other two equations, which are 0.991 . In
addition to the SD value, the $\mathrm{F}_{\text {count }} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}$ value also affects the selection of the best equation model. The $\mathrm{F}_{\text {count }} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}$ value of an equation can be accepted if the value is greater than 1 , so the equation 1 model cannot be accepted because the value is 0.2675 . Model equations 1,2 , and 3 then apply to the 3 test compounds, obtaining PRESS values. The PRESS value is the square of the difference between the observed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value and the value obtained from calculations using the QSAR equation. The better the equation model, the
lower the PRESS value. Based on the PRESS values in Table 4, the equation 3 model was chosen as the best equation model because the PRESS value was smaller than equation 2. The equation 3 model results from the backward
method and is then analyzed further with the entry derivative compound gamma-carboline listed in Table 5.

Table 5: the results of the enter method analysis

| Variable | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{r}^{2}$ | SD | F $_{\text {count }} /$ F $_{\text {table }}$ | PRESS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{qC}_{11}, \alpha, \mu, \mathrm{RD}, \log \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{BM}, \mathrm{V}$ vdw | 0,937 | 0,878 | 0,244 | $6,171 / 4,21=$ | 0,749 |
|  |  |  |  | 1,466 |  |

Table 5 shows that the values of $r$ and $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ are closer to 1 , and the standard deviation is 0.244 , meaning that the equation has a small error. The value of F-count/F-table is more than 1, which means that the equation can accept based on a $95 \%$ statistical confidence
level. A small PRESS value of 0.749 indicates that the result of the predicted $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ is close to the observation $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$. The results of the calculation obtain the final equation for QSAR (Equation 1).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{pEC}_{50}= & -48.670-124.801\left(\mathrm{qC}_{11}\right)-12.661(\alpha)-0.918(\mu)-0.876(\mathrm{RD})-0.999(\log \mathrm{P})+1.863(\mathrm{BM}) \\
& +0.043(\mathrm{~V} \mathrm{vdw}) \\
\text { with } \mathrm{n}= & 14 ; \mathrm{r}=0.937 ; \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.878 ; \mathrm{SD}=0.244 ; \mathrm{F}_{\text {count }} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {table }}=1.466 ; \text { PRESS }=0.749 ; \text { Sig. }=0.02
\end{aligned}
$$

(Equation 1)
Table 6. The last equation of PRESS value QSAR using the enter method

| Compound | pEC $_{\mathbf{5 0}}$ Observation | pEC $_{\mathbf{5 0}}$ Prediction | PRESS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | $-0,2365$ | $-0,214677$ | 0,000479 |
| D | 0,6334 | 0,511149 | 0,014962 |
| E | 0,3424 | 0,279348 | 0,003978 |
| F | $-0,2596$ | $-0,259584$ | 0,0001 |
| G | $-0,5850$ | $-0,697432$ | 0,012635 |
| H | 0,2304 | 0,04244 | 0,035347 |
| I | 0,0413 | 0,002678 | 0,001499 |
| J | 0,4771 | 0,431948 | 0,002041 |
| K | 0,0791 | $-0,145559$ | 0,050508 |
| M | 0,9138 | 0,481899 | 0,18655 |
| A | 0,9637 | 0,723933 | 0,05753 |
| B | 0,3010 | $-0,275468$ | 0,33235 |
| N | 0,5440 | 0,435834 | 0,011715 |
|  | 0,9395 | 0,740143 | 0,039751 |



Figure 4. Correlation graph between predicted $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ and experimental $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$

The correlation graph between predicted $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$ and experimental $\mathrm{pEC}_{50}$, shown in Figure 4, shows a slope close to number 1. It means that the resulting equation can provide a fairly good level of prediction.

## Conclusion

The analysis of gamma-carboline derivatives as anti-BVDV using the AM1 semiempirical optimization method with multilinear regression analysis through the backward and enter procedures produced the optimal QSAR equation, which is provided in equation 1 . This equation can be used as an initial point for designing new gammacarboline group compounds. By considering some of the most influential descriptors, new compounds can be designed which have lower predicted EC50 values than known gammacarboline derivatives.
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